



David Publishing Company

Jointly published by Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology A & B Hue University Journal of Science

special Issue

Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology A & B & Hue University Journal of Science

Volume 5, Special Issue, December 2015



David Publishing Company www.davidpublisher.com

Publication Information:

Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology A (Earlier title: Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology, ISSN 1939-1250) is published monthly in hard copy (ISSN 2161-6256) by David Publishing Company located at 1840 Industrial Drive, Suite 160, Libertyville, IL 60048, USA.

Aims and Scope:

Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology A, a monthly professional academic journal, particularly emphasizes new research results in agricultural resource, plant protection, zootechny and veterinary, all aspects of animal physiology, modeling of animal systems, agriculture engineering and so on. Articles interpreting practical application of up-to-date technology are also welcome.

Editorial Board Members (in alphabetical order):

Bartor a Doar a Difering er b (in		
Catherine W. Gitau (Australia)	Dharmatilleke Bandula Kelaniyan	goda (Sri Lanka)
Ekachai Chukeatirote (Thailand)	Erin K. Espeland (USA)	Farzana Perveen (Pakistan)
Francesco Contò (Italy)	Francesco Montemurro (Italy)	Genhua Niu (USA)
Gulshan Mahajan (India)	Idress Hamad Attitalla (Libya)	Jang Ho Son (Korea)
Jagadish Timsina (Bangladesh)	Jelena Bošković (Serbia)	Manoj K. Shukla (USA)
Mehmet Musa Özcan (Turkey)	M. S. Qureshi (Pakistan)	Milad Manafi (Iran)
Mehmet Rüştü Karaman (Turkey)	Noureddine Benkeblia (Algeria)	Natraj Krishnan (USA)
Olivier A. E. Sparagano (UK)	Ozlem TOKUSOGLU (Turkey)	Renato S. Pacaldo (USA)
Ram C. Bhujel (Thailand)	Shoil M. Greenberg (USA)	Sanjeev Kumar Chauhan (India)
Shri Mohan Jain (Finland)	Thai Ngoc Chien (Vietnam)	T. Chatzistathis (Greece)
Vasudeo P. Zambare (USA)	Vasileios Fotopoulos (Greece)	Young Jung Kim (Korea)
Yusuf Bozkurt (Turkey)	Zeki Candan (Turkey)	

Manuscripts and correspondence are invited for publication. You can submit your papers via web submission, or E-mail to agriculture@davidpublishing.org. Submission guidelines and web submission system are available at http://www.davidpublisher.com.

Editorial Office:

1840 Industrial Drive, Suite 160, Libertyville, IL 60048, USA

Tel: 1-323-984-7526, 323-410-1082

Fax: 1-323-984-7374, 323-908-0457

E-mail: agriculture@davidpublishing.org, agriculture66@hotmail.com, agriculture@davidpublishing.com

Copyright©2015 by David Publishing Company and individual contributors. All rights reserved. David Publishing Company holds the exclusive copyright of all the contents of this journal. In accordance with the international convention, no part of this journal may be reproduced or transmitted by any media or publishing organs (including various websites) without the written permission of the copyright holder. Otherwise, any conduct would be considered as the violation of the copyright. The contents of this journal are available for any citation. However, all the citations should be clearly indicated with the title of this journal, serial number and the name of the author.

Abstracted/Indexed in:

Database of EBSCO, Massachusetts, USA Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS), USA Cambridge Scientific Abstracts (CSA), ProQuest Science Journals, USA Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, USA Summon Serials Solutions, USA Chinese Database of CEPS, American Federal Computer Library Center (OCLC), USA China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), China Chinese Scientific Journals Database, VIP Corporation, Chongqing, China Norwegian Social Science Data Services (NSD), Norway Index Copernicus, Poland Universe Digital Library Sdn Bhd (UDLSB), Malaysia Google Scholar CABI

Subscription Information:

Price (per year) Print \$1200 David Publishing Company 1840 Industrial Drive, Suite 160, Libertyville, IL 60048, USA Tel: 1-323-984-7526, 323-410-1082 Fax: 1-323-984-7374, 323-908-0457 E-mail: order@davidpublishing.com Digital Cooperative Company: www.bookan.com.cn



David Publishing Company www.davidpublisher.com

Publication Information:

Hue University Journal of Science has been issued by Ministry of Education and Training and Ministry of Information and Communication in 2001, was established with the purposes of publication for results of scientific research of the academic staffs and researchers in the fields of science: natural, social and humanities, education, the arts, agriculture, forestry, fisheries, medicine, etc.

Hue University Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology, is one of six Hue University Journals.

Editorial Council:

Chairman: Nguyen Van Toan, Hue University Vice Chairman: Nguyen Quang Linh, Hue University Executive Editor: Le Manh Thanh, Hue University Editor in Chief: Nguyen Quang Linh Deputy Editor in Chief: Truong Quy Tung Editorial Secretary: Le Manh Thanh

Editorial Office:

04 Le loi, Hue City Phone: 054 - 3845658 | Website: jos.hueuni.edu.vn E-mail: tckh_dhh@hueuni.edu.vn; tckhdhh@gmail.com



http://jos.hueuni.edu.vn/

Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology A & B & Hue University Journal of Science

Volume 5, Special Issue, December 2015

Contents

Research Papers

449 Development of RAPD-Derived STS Markers for Genetic Diversity Assessment in Melon (*Cucumis melo* L.)

Tran Phuong Dung, Duong Thanh Thuy, Katsunori Tanaka, Phan Thi Phuong Nhi, Hidetaka Nishida and Kenji Kato

- 457 **Pig Breeds (GF24) Introducing to Central Vietnam and Reproductive Performance** *Nguyen Quang Linh and Le Duc Nhanh*
- 463 **Determination of Ileal Amino Acid Digestibilities of Some By-Products for Chickens** *Ho Trung Thong, Ho Le Quynh Chau and Vu Chi Cuong*
- 469 Preliminary Studies on the Toxic Effects of Degradation Products of Oxytetracycline and Chlortetracycline on Rats

Nguyen Van Hue, Nguyen Van Toan, Le Thanh Long, Guido Fleischer and Zhou Guang Hong

- 475 Agronomic Characteristics of Induced Pepper Germplasm in 2015 at Thua Thien Hue Hai Thi Hong Truong, Ngoc Lan Phung and Thao Thu Phan
- 484 Evaluation of Introduced Pepper Accessions for Agronomic Characteristics in Summer-Autumn Season 2014 at Thua Thien Hue

Thao Thu Phan, Hai Thi Hong Truong and Tho Huu Nguyen

- 491Identification of Rice Blast Resistance Genes in South Central Coast of Vietnam Using
Monogenic Lines under Field Condition and Pathogenicity Assays
Thuy Thi Thu Nguyen, Hai Thi Hong Truong, Long Tien Nguyen and Linh Hoang Khanh Nguyen
- 501 Evaluation on Agronomical Characteristics of F1 Hybrid Tomato Lines in Spring-Summer Season 2015 in Thua Thien Hue Hai Thi Hong Truong, Thao Thu Phan and Khanh Thi Le
- 508 Evaluation of Promissing Sponge Gourd (*Luffa cylindrical*) Accessions in Summer-Autumn Season 2014 in Thua Thien Hue Thao Thu Phan, Hai Thi Hong Truong, Son Cong Hoai Nguyen, Thuy Thi Thu Nguyen and Thang Viet Tran
- 515 Effect of High Temperature on Fruit Productivity and Seed-Set of Sweet Pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) in the Field Condition *Tran Loc Thuy and Murakami Kenji*
- 521 Photosynthetic Responses of Sweet Sorghum Cultivars to Cadmium Toxicity Dinh Thi Thanh Tra, Hua Xiao, Siping Zhang, Feng Luo, Igarashi Yasuo and Changzheng Xu
- 528 Social Impact Assessment of the Benefit Sharing Mechanism Pilot in Co-management of Special-Use Forest in Vietnam: Case Study at Bach Ma National Park Nguyen Vu Linh, Nguyen Van Loi and Do Trong Hoan
- 538 Nutrient Mass Balances in Intensive Shrimp Ponds with a Sludge Removal Regime: A Case Study in the Tam Giang Lagoon, Central Vietnam *Huy Van Nguyen and Morihiro Maeda*
- 548 Biological Features and Distribution of Giant Trevally (*Caranx ignobilis* Forsskal, 1775) in Tam Giang-Cau Hai Lagoon Systems, Vietnam
 Tran Vinh Phuong, Hoang Thi Van Anh, Le Thi Nhu Phuong and Nguyen Quang Linh
- 561 Nutritional Characteristics and Feeding of Rabbitfish (*Siganus guttatus*) in Tam Giang-Cau Hai Lagoon Systems

Nguyen Quang Linh, Tran Nguyen Ngoc, Kieu Thi Huyen, Ngo Thi Huong Giang and Nguyen Van Hue



Thao Thu Phan¹, Hai Thi Hong Truong^{1, 2}, Son Cong Hoai Nguyen², Thuy Thi Thu Nguyen^{1, 2} and Thang Viet Tran²

1. Hue University, 3 Le Loi Street, Hue City 47000, Vietnam

2. Agronomy Faculty, Hue University of Agriculture and Forestry, 102 Phung Hung Street, Hue City 47000, Vietnam

Abstract: Sponge gourd varieties having aroma after cooking have been degenerated because of cross pollination. Collection and evaluation of sponge gourd germplasm are needed for conservation and breeding of high quality varieties. The objective of the study was to identify varieties having high yield, good quality and aroma under local conditions. Ten promising sponge gourd accessions, including A2, A6, A7, A13, A16, A17, B29, B30, HN and QN were evaluated for growth, morphological traits, fruit quality and yield. The experiment was carried out in Hue University of Agricultural and Forestry from June to October in 2014. The results showed that all promising accessions grew well. Different morphological traits were observed among promising lines. Yield of accessions A7, A13 and A17 were higher than the others. Only fruits of accession B29 had aroma after cooking. These lines can be used in sponge gourd breeding programs.

Key words: Sponge gourd, Luffa cylindrical, Thua Thien Hue, aroma.

1. Introduction

Sponge gourd (Luffa cylindrical) is member of Cucurbitaceae family, Luffa genus. It is used as a vegetable either prepared like squash or eaten raw like cucumber [1]. In many developing country, old fruit is produced for wide applications in bathing and washing by fibrous vascular system, such as utensil cleaning sponges, bath sponge and adsorbent for heavy metal in waste water. Sponge gourd is a cross-pollinated crop and has 26 chromosomes (2n =26) [2]. Sponge gourd was first grown commercially in Japan in the early 1890s [3]. Sponge gourd is known as important medicine plant, especially in China. Fruits are used in the traditional Chinese medicine as an anthelmintic, stomachic and antipyretic phytomedicinal drug. Saponins from the leaves and fruits possess effect on anoxia and fatigue

and immunological activity [4]. Additionally, the Luffin, a ribosome-inactivating protein isolated from *Luffa* seed, has been shown to be effective against growth of parasites, protozoa, insects, fungi and HIV [5]. *Luffa* seed has been shown to be effective against growth of parasites, protozoa, insects, fungi and HIV [6]. Nowadays, there are many researches who have mentioned application capacity of *Luffa* fibrous system and chemical compounds extracted from fruit, seed and leaf. Thus, sponge gourd is known not only in vegetable but also in industrial and science researching materials.

Sponge gourd is a tropical and sub-tropical plant which requires warm temperature. It is widely and easily cultivated in Vietnam. However, nowadays, Sponge gourd's growing area is limited in Vietnam; in addition, famers keep the seed of local varieties to continue next sowing season. In this case, crossing pollinating cause degraded and adulterated, thus

Corresponding authors: Hai Thi Hong Truong, Ph.D., research field: molecular plant breeding of horticulture science.

leading to decreased quality of local variety, such as high yield, aroma and sticky. It's necessary to select and conserve good sponge gourd lines having high yield, good quality, and disease resistance to increase production efficiency. In the previous study, the sponge gourd germplasm consisting of 49 accessions was evaluated in Ha Noi [7]; however, selected promising accessions are needed to confirm their growth ability and fruit quality under local conditions. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the potential of promising *Luffa cylindrical* accessions on yield and fruit quality under local conditions and to select good lines for breeding programs and introducing to crop system in Thua Thien Hue.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

A total of 10 accessions, including eight accessions obtained from Plant Genetic Resource of Vietnam (A2, A6, A7, A13, A16, A17, B29 and B30) and two local aroma sponge gourd accessions HN (collected from Ha Noi) and QN (collected from Quy Nhon), were used in this study.

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Experimental Design

The evaluation was conducted from June to October 2014 in a greenhouse at Hue University of Agricultural and Forestry, Thua Thien Hue. The experiment was laid out in a random complete block design (RCBD) with three replications, as following Harika et al. designed for bottle gourd in 2012 [8] and Choudhary et al. designed for ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula*) in India in 2014 [9]. Each accession in each replication was represented by six plants in a plot size of 6 m². The spacing was 100 cm between plants and 100 cm between rows. Sponge gourd was cultivated based on national technical regulations of QCVN 2013 DUS of Angel Loofah (*Luffa acutangula*) [10]. Seedlings with 2-3 fully expanded true leaves were transplanted into experimental field in plastic

house. The basal fertilizing consisted of 20 tons manure, 120 kg superphosphate and 30 kg potassium per ha. Watering fertilizers were applied every three weeks with 37.5 g N:P:K (16:16:16), 24 g urea and 4 g K for each plot. Plastic film mulch was used to cover the bed. Setting frame stand for plant was before appearing tendrils.

2.2.2 Data collection

The data was collected from five randomly selected plants per replication. The time of growth periods had been measured since 50% number of plants of each accession had reached of requirements. Node height, stump diameter, leaf width and leaf length were measured to describe the ability of growth. Observations on leave were recorded in mature leaf emerging from nodes of the 15th to 20th. Fruit traits were recorded during harvesting period. Aroma trait was assessed by sense evaluation from 10 people. Total of 23 traits were evaluated during experiment.

2.2.3 Statistical analysis

Average values which collected from each plot were analyzed using analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA) by Statistix 9.0 version. Differences between means values were compared using Duncan's test at P < 0.05 [11].

3. Results and Discussions

3.1 Period of Growth and Development

Time from sowing to appearing the first male flower ranged from 46 d (A13) to 65 d (HN) (Table 1). Time from sowing to appearing the first female flower ranged from 46 d (A13) to 72 d (HN). Time which the first female flower opened was later than the first male flower in almost accessions. Choudhary et al. [9] reported that days to first female flower had negative and significant correlation with marketable fruit yield per plant. Thus, selection of genotypes producing female flowers early would increase yield of ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula*). Choudhary et al. [12], Hanumegowda et al. [13] and Prasanna et al. [14] also reported similar trend in ridge gourd. Kumar et al. [15]

		Т	ime from sowing to.	•••	
Accession Appearing the 1st male flower	Appearing the 1st		Harvesting		
	male flower	female flower	1st time	2nd time	3rd time
A2	51	61	98	131	176
A6	49	56	98	131	176
A7	51	51	98	131	176
A13	46	46	98	131	176
A16	51	60	98	131	176
A17	57	60	98	131	176
B29	62	57	98	131	176
B30	60	65	98	131	176
HN	65	72	98	131	176
QN	61	70	98	131	176

Table 1 Period of growth and development of promising sponge gourd accessions.

Table 2 Ability of growth and development of sponge gourd accessions.

Accession	Node height (cm)	Stump diameter (mm)	Leaf width (cm)	Leaf length (cm)
A2	16.80 ^{abc}	54.53 ^a	26.00 ^a	19.70 ^a
A6	14.57 ^{bc}	56.00 ^a	21.76 ^c	17.56 ^{bc}
A7	17.38 ^{abc}	55.13 ^a	23.73 ^{abc}	17.41 ^{bc}
A13	17.46 ^{ab}	54.27 ^a	23.36 ^{abc}	18.56 ^{ab}
A16	17.87 ^{ab}	53.87 ^a	22.87 ^{bc}	18.15 ^{ab}
A17	18.81 ^a	59.00 ^a	22.75 ^{bc}	16.88 ^{bc}
B29	16.71 ^{abc}	54.33 ^a	23.53 ^{abc}	18.79 ^{ab}
B30	14.03 ^c	54.27 ^a	21.03 ^c	15.44 ^c
DC	17.70 ^{ab}	61.67 ^a	25.35 ^{ab}	18.08 ^{ab}
QN	17.72 ^{ab}	59.47 ^a	23.64 ^{abc}	17.73 ^{ab}
LSD 0.05	3.35	10.34	2.94	2.13

^{a, b, c} Means in different letter (s) are significantly different at P = 95%.

indicated that days to anthesis of the first male flower were positively correlated with total yield per vine in sponge gourd [15]. In this study, B29, B30, HN and QN had the first male flower at about 60 d and A13 had the earliest of first female flower (46 d). All of accessions had the same harvesting times.

3.2 Ability of Growth and Development of Promising Sponge Gourd Accessions

Ability of growth and development was presented in node height, stump diameter, leaf width and leaf length. Choudhary et al. [12], Chowdhury and Sharma [16], Karuppaiah et al. [17], and Singh et al. [18] have observed a wide variation in growth and flowering traits of ridge gourd. The height of node ranged from 14.03 cm to 18.81 cm (Table 2). Node height of A17 was the highest and the lowest one was B30. The difference was found significantly between them. These results were similar to internodal length (from 12.85 cm to 17.17 cm). This result is in agreement with result of Choudhary et al. (2008) [12]. The big stump diameter shows good growth ability. Stump diameter was obtained from 53.87 cm (A16) to 61.67 cm (DC), but there was no significant difference among accessions. Leaf width and leaf length are not only variety's feature, but also characteristic to affect photosynthesis capacity of plant. Leaf width and leaf length of A2 were found to be biggest, whereas B30 accession had the lowest leaf width (21.03 cm) and the lowest leaf length (15.44 cm). Leaf width and leaf length were significantly different among accessions.

3.3 Morphological Traits of Promising Sponge Gourd Accessions

Morphological traits depend on genetics and are different among accessions. Morphological traits of the sponge gourd accessions were recorded and presented in the Table 3. Leaf, fruit and seed were different in color and shape. Leaf shape of five accessions, such as A2, A7, A16, A17, B29 and QN was reniform, whereas orbicular leaf shape was observed in other accessions. A7, A16 and QN had dark green leaves; the remained accessions had green color. High leaf pubescence (hair on ventral surface) will have high ability of pest resistance. A13, A17, B30 and QN had high leaf pubescence.

Fruit shape was divided in two kinds, ellipse and oblong. The ellipse was observed in A2, A6 and A17, and the remains were oblong. Fruit color consisted of light green (A2, A7, A13 and A17), green (A6, A16 and B29) and dark green (B30, HN and QN).

3.4 Fruit Quality Traits

Fruit quality is an important criterion for sponge gourd production. Joshi et al. (2004) [19] reported that fruit length and fruit weigh were considered as primary traits for increasing fruit number. Fruit size determined by fruit length and fruit diameter was significant difference among accessions (Table 4). Fruit length was recorded from 29.3 cm to 43.7 cm. B29 had the shortest fruit (29.3 cm) and QN had the longest fruit (43.7 cm). There was significant difference among accessions. Fruit diameter ranged from 4.55 cm to 5.87 cm. B29 had the smallest fruit (4.55 cm), whereas A13 had the biggest fruit (5.87 cm). Davis and DeCourley [20] have reported that average of sponge gourd fruit length varied from 48 cm to 79 cm and the diameter from 7 cm to 11 cm, respectively. The longest fruit peduncle belonged to A13 (17.27 cm) and the shorted fruit peduncle belonged to QN (18.55 cm). The length of fruit peduncle of accession was significantly different among accessions.

Davis [21] emphasized that important characteristic of *Luffa* depends on the purpose of the use of sponge gourd. As vegetable for daily meal, almost consumers will choose good fruits as weight, sweetness and aroma. Thus, total soluble solid (brix) and aroma were evaluated. Brix ranged from 2.17 (B30) to 3.32 (B29). Almost accessions had similar brix value. Only B29 kept aroma after cooked. Stickiness help food more tasty. All of accessions were sticky.

3.5 Yield Components and Yield

Ratio of fruit setting, number fruit per plant and fruit weight constituted of yield. Ratio of fruit setting ranged from 16% (QN) to 45.17% (B29). Ratio of fruit setting of all accessions was significantly different (Table 5). This fruiting rate was higher than those in previous study [7].

Table 3 Morphological traits of promising sponge gourd accessions

Accession	Leaf shape	Leaf color	Leaf pubescence	Fruit shape	Fruit color
A2	R	G	М	Е	LG
A6	0	G	М	Е	G
A7	R	DG	М	OB	LG
A13	0	G	Н	OB	LG
A16	R	DG	М	OB	G
A17	R	G	Н	Е	LG
B29	R	G	М	OB	G
B30	0	G	Н	OB	DG
HN	0	G	М	OB	DG
QN	R	DG	Н	OB	DG

R = reniform, O = orbicular, G = green, DG = dark green, LG = light green, M = medium, H = high, E = elliptical, OB = oblong blocky.

Accession Fruit length (cm)	th (cm) Fruit diameter (cm)	Length of fruit peduncle (cm)	Brix	Aroma		
				Raw	After cooked	
A2	38.89 ^{abc}	5.17 ^{abcd}	15.05 ^{abc}	2.55 ^{bcd}	Yes	No
A6	38.37 ^{abc}	5.69 ^{ab}	10.58 ^d	2.53 ^{bcd}	No	No
A7	35.06 ^{cd}	5.20 ^{abcd}	14.89 ^{abc}	2.37 ^{bcd}	No	No
A13	39.56 ^{abc}	5.87 ^a	17.27 ^{ab}	2.68 ^{bcd}	Yes	No
A16	41.80 ^{ab}	4.97 ^{bcd}	16.97 ^{ab}	2.92 ^{ab}	No	No
A17	30.83 ^{de}	5.64 ^{abc}	13.68 ^{bcd}	2.79 ^{abc}	Yes	No
B29	29.30 ^e	4.55 ^d	12.63 ^{cd}	3.32 ^a	Yes	Yes
B30	38.08 ^{bc}	4.86 ^{cd}	11.17 ^{cd}	2.17 ^d	No	No
HN	39.25 ^{abc}	5.00 ^{bcd}	17.11 ^{ab}	2.31 ^{cd}	No	No
QN	43.70 ^a	4.96 ^{bcd}	18.55 ^a	2.52 ^{bcd}	Yes	No
LSD 0,05	5.45	0.82	4.02	0.57		

 Table 4
 Fruit quality of promising sponge gourd accessions.

^{a, b, c} Means in different letter (s) are significantly different at P = 95%.

 Table 5
 Yield components and yield of promising sponge gourd accessions.

Accession	Ratio of fruit setting (%)	Number of fruit/plant (fruit)	Fruit weigh (g)	Theory yield (ton/ha)	Yield (ton/ha)
A2	41.08 ^{ab}	3.33 ^{abc}	367.33 ^{abc}	60.05 ^{abcd}	46.61 ^{abc}
A6	37.50 ^{ab}	3.33 ^{abc}	416.00 ^{ab}	65.66 ^{abc}	51.73 ^{abc}
A7	39.42 ^{ab}	4.80 ^a	356.00 ^{bc}	82.83 ^a	83.25 ^a
A13	39.50 ^{ab}	3.47 ^{abc}	471.33 ^a	78.67 ^{ab}	62.56 ^{ab}
A16	43.42 ^{ab}	2.33 ^{cd}	372.00 ^{abc}	40.61 ^{abcd}	34.51 ^{bc}
A17	38.92 ^{ab}	4.60 ^{ab}	356.00 ^{bc}	79.55 ^{ab}	71.17 ^{ab}
B29	45.17 ^a	2.60 ^{bcd}	274.00 ^c	33.65 ^{cd}	29.95 ^{bc}
B30	29.17 ^{bc}	1.80 ^{cd}	439.33 ^{ab}	36.98 ^{bcd}	58.67 ^{ab}
HN	42.17 ^{ab}	1.90 ^{cd}	416.00 ^{ab}	39.39 ^{bcd}	34.51 ^{bc}
QN	16.00 ^c	0.87^{d}	440.11 ^{ab}	18.30 ^d	14.91 ^c
LSD 0,05	14.88	2.02	112.51	42.97	42.00

^{a, b, c} Means in different letter (s) are significantly different at P = 95%.

Number of fruit per plant ranged from 0.87 fruit to 4.8 fruits. QN had the lowest number of fruit per plant (0.87 fruit) and A7 had the highest number of fruits per plant (4.8 fruits), and the followings were A17 (4.6 fruits) and A13 (3.47 fruits). The accession A7 only had 2.6 fruits per plant reported in 2014 by Truong et al. [7]. While Davis and DeCourley [20] reported that number of fruits of gourds per plant were ranged from 3.5 to 20 fruits.

Fruit weight ranged from 274 g to 471.33 g. B29 had the smallest fruit weigh (274 g), whereas A13 had the biggest fruit (471.33 g). Some accessions had big fruit, such as QN (440.11 g) B30 (439.33 g), A6 and HN (416 g), but there was no significant difference. Kumar et al. [15] have reported that fruit number per vine ranged from 20.92 to 35.87 fruits and fruit weight

ranged from 106.87 g to 216.20 g. While Choudhary [9] reported that fruit weight of ride gourd ranged from 74.04 g to 109.06 g. Thus, number of fruit, fruit length and average weight of fruit are important characters for increasing yield potential in *Luffa*.

Theory yield ranged from 18.3 ton/ha to 82.83 ton/ha. QN had the lowest theory yield (18.3 ton/ha), whereas A7 had the highest theory yield (82.83 ton/ha). This occurred in true yield too. A7 obtained the highest yield (83.25 ton/ha), following by A17 (71.17 ton/ha) and A13 (62.56 ton/ha). There was no significant difference among accessions. QN had the lowest yield (14.91 ton/ha), and next was B29 (29.95 ton/ha). These results were in agreement with results of Kumar et al. [15] that fruit number was positively correlated with total yield. Choudhary et al. [9] also

indicated that the marketable yield per plant had positive and highly significant correlation with fruit weight and number of marketable fruit per plant at phenotypic level [9].

4. Conclusions

All promising accessions can grow well under Thua Thien Hue conditions. Different varieties had different morphological traits and growth characteristics. Only fruit of accession B29 kept aroma after cooked, but fruits of all accessions were sticky after cooking. Accessions A7, A13 and A17 had higher yield than other accessions. Accessions B29, A7, A13 and A17 had high yield and good fruit quality (aroma, sticky), therefore should be used in breeding F1 Sponge gourd.

References

- Sastri, B. N. 1962. Wealth of India: A Dictionary of Indian Raw Materials and Industrial Products. Vol. 6. New Delhi: CSIR.
- [2] Bal, K. J., Hari, B. K. C., Radha, K. T., Madhusudan, G., Bhuwon, R. S., and Madhusudan, P. U. 2004. *Descriptors* for Sponge Gourd (Luffa cylindrica (L.) Roem.). Nepal: NARC and LIBIRD, and Italy: IPGRI.
- [3] Heiser, C. B. 1979. *The Gourd Book*. Norman: University of Oklahoma Press, 235.
- [4] Du, Q., and Cui, H. 2007. "A New Flavones Glycoside from the Fruits of *Luffa cynlindrica*." *Fitoterapia* 78: 609-10.
- [5] Ng, Y. M., Yang, Y. H., Sze, K. H., Zhang, X., Zheng, Y. T., and Shaw, P. C. 2011. "Structural Characterization and Anti-HIV-1 Activities of Arginine/Glutamate-Rich Polypeptide Luffin P1 from the Seeds of Sponge Gourd (*Luffa cylindrica*)." Journal of Structural Biology 174 (1): 164-72.
- [6] Seki, Y., Sever, K., Erden, S., Sarikanat, M., Neser, G. K., and Ozes, C. 2012. "Characterization of *Luffa cylindrica* Fibers and the Effect of Water Aging on the Mechanical Properties of Its Composite with Polyester." *Journal of Applied Polymer Science* 123: 2330-7.
- [7] Truong, H. T. H., Phan, T. T., Tran, N. B. T., Tran, T. V, and Nguyen, T. T. T. 2014. "Study on Sponge Gourd (*Luffa cylindrical*) in Spring-Summer Season 2014 at Gia Lam, Ha Noi." *Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development* 98 (10): 75-81.
- [8] Harika, M., Gasti, V. D., Shantappa, T., Mulge, R., Shirol, A. M., Mastiholi, A. B., and Kulkarni, M. S. 2012.

"Evaluation of Bottle Gourd Genotypes (*Lagenaria* siceraria (Mol.) Standl.) for Various Horticultural Characters." *Karnataka J. Agric.* Sci. 25 (2): 241-4.

- [9] Choudhary, B. R., Kumar, S., and Sharma, S. K. 2014. "Evaluation and Correlation for Growth, Yield and Quality Traits of Ridge Gourd (*Luffa acutangula*) under Arid Conditions." *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 84 (4): 498-502.
- [10] Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development (MARD). 2013. National Technical Regulation on Testing for Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability of Angled Luffa. (in Vietnamese)
- [11] Duncan, E. B. 1995. "Multiple Range Test and Multiple F-Tests." *Biometrics* 11 (1): 1-42.
- [12] Choudhary, B. R., Pandey, S., Bhardwaj, D. R., Yadav, D. S., and Rai, M. 2008. "Component Analysis for Qualitative Traits in Ridge Gourd (*Luffa acutangula* (Roxb.) L.)." *Vegetable Science* 35 (2): 144-7.
- [13] Hanumegowda, K., Shirol, A. M, Mulge, R., Shantappa, T., and Kumar, P. 2012. "Correlation Co-efficient Studies in Ridge Gourd (*Luffa acutangula* (L.) Roxb.)." *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 25 (1): 160-2.
- [14] Prasanna, S. C., Krishnappa, K. S., and Reddy, N. S. 2002. "Correlation and Path Coefficient Analysis Studies in Ridge Gourd." *Current Research* 31: 150-2.
- [15] Kumar, R., Ameta, K. D., Dubey R. B., and Pareek, S. 2013. "Genetic Variability, Correlation and Path Analysis in Sponge Gourd (*Luffa cylindrical* Roem.)." *African Journal of Biotechnology* 12 (6): 539-43.
- [16] Chowdhury, D., and Sharma, K. C. 2002. "Studies on Variability, Heritability, Genetic Advance and Correlations in Ridge Gourd (*Luffa acutangula* (Roxb.) L.)." *Horticultural Journal* 15 (3): 53-8.
- [17] Karuppaiah, P., Kavitha, R., and Senthilkumar, P. 2002. "Studies on Variability, Heritability and Genetic Advance in Ridge Gourd." *Indian Journal of Horticulture* 59 (3): 307-12.
- [18] Singh, R. P., Mohan, J., and Singh, D. 2002. "Studies on Genetic Variability and Heritability in Ridge Gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L.)." Agricultural Science Digest 22 (4): 279-80.
- [19] Joshi, B. K.; Tiwari, R. K., KC, H. B., Regmi, H. N., Adhikari, B. H., Ghale, M., Chaudhary, B., Gyawali, S., Upadhyay, M. P., and Sthapit, B. R. 2004. "Evaluation of Sponge Gourd (*Luffa cylindria* L.) Diversity for Vegetable Production." In *On-farm Conservation of Agricultural Biodiversity in Nepal.* Vol. 1. Nagarkot: International Plant Genetic Resources Institute (IPGRI), 122-8.
- [20] Davis, J. M., and DeCourley, C. D. 1993. "Luffa Sponge

Gourds: A Potential Crop for Small Farms." In *New Crops*, edited by Janick, J., and Simon, J. E. New York: Wiley, 560-1.

[21] Davis, J. M. 1994. "Luffa Sponge Gourd Production Practices for Temperate Climates." *HortScience* 29 (4): 263-6.

514