

Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity and Degree of nilpotency

BY CAO HUY LINH

*Department of Mathematics,
 College of Education, Hue University,
 34 Le Loi, Hue City, Vietnam.*

(Received 30 September 2005; revised 19 April 2006)

Abstract

In this paper we show that the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity of the associated graded module with respect to an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal I is effectively bounded by the degree of nilpotency of I . From this it follows that there are only a finite number of Hilbert–Samuel functions for ideals with fixed degree of nilpotency.



1. Introduction

Let $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$ be a finitely generated standard graded ring over a noetherian commutative ring R_0 . Let R_+ be the ideal of R generated by the elements of positive degrees of R . If E is a finitely generated graded R -module, we set

$$a_i(E) = \begin{cases} \max\{n \mid H_{R_+}^i(E)_n \neq 0\} & \text{if } H_{R_+}^i(E) \neq 0, \\ -\infty & \text{if } H_{R_+}^i(E) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity of E is the number

$$\text{reg}(E) := \max\{a_i(E) + i \mid i \geq 0\}.$$

Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring, I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of A and M a finitely generated A -module. We denote by $G_I(M)$ the associated graded ring $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n M / I^{n+1} M$ of M with respect to I . It is known that the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity $\text{reg}(G_I(M))$ provides upper bounds for several invariants of M with respect to I such as the postulation number, the relation type and the reduction number [16]. It is of great interest to find upper bounds for $\text{reg}(G_I(M))$ by means of simpler invariants.

Our first main result gives a bound for the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity of $G_I(M)$ in terms of the degree of nilpotency $n(I)$ of I . Recall that the *degree of nilpotency* is the least integer n such that $\mathfrak{m}^n \subseteq I$.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring and I be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of A . Let M be a finitely generated A -module with $d = \dim A \geq 1$. Then:*

- (i) $\text{reg}(G_I(M)) \leq n(I) \text{hdeg}(M) - 1$ if $d = 1$;
- (ii) $\text{reg}(G_I(M)) \leq 2^{(d-1)!} \text{hdeg}(M)^{3^{(d-1)!-1}} n(I)^{3^{d-1}-d} - 1$ if $d \geq 2$.

Here $\text{hdeg}(M)$ denotes the homological degree of M , which was introduced by Vasconcelos [17] in order to control the complexity of M . If M is a Cohen–Macaulay module, $\text{hdeg}(M)$ is the multiplicity (degree) of M . Theorem 2.4 implies effective bounds for the postulation number, the relation type and the reduction number of I in terms of the degree of nilpotency of I . It should be noted that bounds for the postulation number were already established by Schwartz [11] for $M = A$ in the characteristic zero case.

Our proof is based on a bound for $\text{reg}(G_I(M))$ by means of an extended degree, a generalization of the degree, which was introduced by Doering, Gunston and Vasconcelos [3]. Using this bound we are also able to give bounds for the coefficients of the Hilbert–Samuel polynomials.

If we denote by $P_M(n)$ the Hilbert–Samuel polynomial associated with the Hilbert–Samuel function $\ell(M/I^{n+1}M)$ and if we write

$$P_M(n) = \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i e_i(I, M) \binom{m+d-i}{d-i},$$

then $e_i(I, M)$ are called the Hilbert coefficients of M with respect to I . We will set $e(I, M) := e_0(I, M)$, the multiplicity of M with respect to I , and $e(M) := e(\mathfrak{m}, M)$.

The Hilbert coefficients have become an interesting subject in recent years [4, 6, 20]. In particular, Srinivas and Trivedi [12–14] gave bounds for the Hilbert coefficients in terms of the dimension, multiplicity, and lengths of local cohomologies for Cohen–Macaulay rings and generalized Cohen–Macaulay modules. Recently, Rossi, Trung and Valla [8] gave bounds for the Hilbert coefficients of A with respect to \mathfrak{m} in terms of an extended degree. We will extend this result to the module case for an arbitrary \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal I . As an application we obtain bounds for the Hilbert coefficients of the Hilbert function in terms of the degree of nilpotency of I and the homological degree of M .

THEOREM 3.3. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of A . Let M be a finitely generated A -module with $\dim(M) \geq 1$. Then:*

- (i) $e(I, M) \leq e(M)n(I)^d$;
- (ii) $|e_1(I, M)| \leq \text{hdeg}(M)n(I)^d[\text{hdeg}(M)n(I)^d - 1]$;
- (iii) $|e_i(I, M)| \leq (i + 1)2^{2i+2} \text{hdeg}(M)^{3i-i+1} n(I)^{3di-d-i+d} - 1$ if $i \geq 2$.

It follows from Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 3.3 that there are only a finite number of Hilbert–Samuel functions of M for \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals with fixed degree of nilpotency. This extends another result of Schwartz in the characteristic zero case [11].

2. Bounds for the regularity of the associated graded module

Let $\mathcal{M}(A)$ denote the class of finitely generated A -modules. An *extended degree on $\mathcal{M}(A)$ with respect to I* (see [5]) is a numerical function $D(I, \cdot)$ on $\mathcal{M}(A)$ such that the following properties hold for every module $M \in \mathcal{M}(A)$.

- (i) $D(I, M) = D(I, M/L) + \ell(L)$, where L is the maximal submodule of M having finite length,
- (ii) $D(I, M) \geq D(I, M/xM)$ for a generic x on M with respect to I ,
- (iii) $D(I, M) = e(I, M)$ if M is a Cohen–Macaulay A -module, where $e(I, M)$ denotes the multiplicity of M with respect to I .

Remark. Any extended degree $D(I, M)$ will satisfy $D(I, M) \geq e(I, M)$, where equality holds if and only if M is a Cohen–Macaulay module.

The extended degree $D(I, M)$ is a generalization of the notion $D(M) := D(\mathfrak{m}, M)$ introduced in [3] and [18].

We have the following bound for $\text{reg}(G_I(M))$ in terms of an extended degree of M with respect to I .

THEOREM 2.1 ([5, theorem 4.4]). *Let M be a finitely generated A -module with $d = \dim M \geq 1$. Let $D(I, M)$ be an arbitrary extended degree of M with respect to I . Then:*

- (i) $\text{reg}(G_I(M)) \leq D(I, M) - 1$ if $d = 1$;
- (ii) $\text{reg}(G_I(M)) \leq 2^{(d-1)!} D(I, M)^{3^{(d-1)!-1}} - 1$ if $d \geq 2$.

In this paper we are interested only in the following special case of extended degrees.

If A is a homomorphic image of a Gorenstein ring S with $\dim S = n$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}(A)$ with $\dim M = d$, we define the *homological degree* with respect to I as the number

$$\text{hdeg}(I, M) := e(I, M) + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{i} \text{hdeg}(I, \text{Ext}_S^{n-i}(M, S)),$$

if $d > 0$, and $\text{hdeg}(I, M) = \ell(M)$ if $d = 0$. This is a recursive definition on the dimension since $\dim \text{Ext}_S^{n-i}(M, S) \leq i$ for $i = 0, \dots, d - 1$. If A is not a homomorphic image of a Gorenstein ring, we define

$$\text{hdeg}(I, M) := \text{hdeg}(I, M \otimes_A \hat{A}),$$

where \hat{A} denotes the \mathfrak{m} -adic completion of A . We can easily verify that $\text{hdeg}(I, M)$ is an extended degree of M with respect to I (see [17] for the case $I = \mathfrak{m}$).

Remark. If M is a generalized Cohen–Macaulay module, then

$$\text{hdeg}(I, M) = e(I, M) + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{i} \ell(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)).$$

For simplicity we put

$$\text{hdeg}(M) := \text{hdeg}(\mathfrak{m}, M).$$

To study the relationship between $\text{hdeg}(I, M)$ and $\text{hdeg}(M)$ we shall need the following observation.

LEMMA 2.2. *Assume that $d = \dim M \geq 1$. Then*

$$e(M) \leq e(I, M) \leq n(I)^d e(M).$$

Proof. Since

$$\ell(M/\mathfrak{m}^{m+1}M) \leq \ell(M/I^{m+1}M) \leq \ell(M/\mathfrak{m}^{n(I)(m+1)}M),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e(M)}{d!} m^d + (\text{terms of lower degree}) &\leq \frac{e(I, M)n(I)^d}{d!} m^d + (\text{terms of lower degree}) \\ &\leq \frac{e(M)n(I)^d}{d!} m^d + (\text{terms of lower degree}) \end{aligned}$$

for $m \geq 0$. Hence $e(M) \leq e(I, M) \leq n(I)^d e(M)$.

Similarly, the relationship between $\text{hdeg}(M)$ and $\text{hdeg}(I, M)$ is given by the following inequalities.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of A . Let M be a finite generated A -module with $\dim M = d$. Then*

$$\text{hdeg}(M) \leq \text{hdeg}(I, M) \leq n(I)^d \text{hdeg}(M).$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the case A is a homomorphic image of a Gorenstein ring S .

If $d = 0$ then $\text{hdeg}(M) = \text{hdeg}(I, M) = \ell(M)$.

If $d \geq 1$, we put $M_i := \text{Ext}_S^{n-i}(M, S)$, where $n = \dim S$. It is well known that $\dim M_i \leq i$. By induction on d we may assume that

$$\text{hdeg}(M_i) \leq \text{hdeg}(I, M_i) \leq n(I)^i \text{hdeg}(M_i)$$

for $i = 0, \dots, d - 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hdeg}(M) &= e(M) + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{i} \text{hdeg}(M_i) \\ &\leq e(I, M) + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{i} \text{hdeg}(I, M_i) \\ &= \text{hdeg}(I, M). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.2 we have $e(I, M) \leq n(I)^d e(M)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hdeg}(I, M) &= e(I, M) + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{i} \text{hdeg}(I, M_i) \\ &\leq n(I)^d e(M) + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{i} n(I)^i \text{hdeg}(M_i) \\ &\leq n(I)^d [e(M) + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{i} \text{hdeg}(M_i)] \\ &= n(I)^d \text{hdeg}(M). \end{aligned}$$

Now we are able to give an explicit bound for the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity of $G_I(M)$ in terms of $n(I)$.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring, I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of A and M a finitely generated A -module with $d = \dim M \geq 1$. Then:*

- (i) $\text{reg}(G_I(M)) \leq n(I) \text{hdeg}(M) - 1$, if $d = 1$;
- (ii) $\text{reg}(G_I(M)) \leq 2^{(d-1)!} \text{hdeg}(M)^{3^{(d-1)!-1}} n(I)^{3d-d} - 1$, if $d \geq 2$.

Proof. Applying Theorem 2.1 for $D(I, M) = \text{hdeg}(I, M)$ we have

- (i) $\text{reg}(G_I(M)) \leq \text{hdeg}(I, M) - 1$, if $d = 1$,
- (ii) $\text{reg}(G_I(M)) \leq 2^{(d-1)!} \text{hdeg}(I, M)^{3^{(d-1)!-1}} - 1$, if $d \geq 2$.

By Lemma 2.3, this implies

- (i) $\text{reg}(G_I(M)) \leq n(I) \text{hdeg}(M) - 1$, if $d = 1$,
- (ii) $\text{reg}(G_I(M)) \leq 2^{(d-1)!} \text{hdeg}(M)^{3^{(d-1)!-1}} n(I)^{3d-d} - 1$, if $d \geq 2$.

Remark. The bound is the best possible in the case $d = 1$. For example, if $M = A$ is a regular local ring and $I = \mathfrak{m}$, then we always have $\text{reg}(G_I(M)) = 0$ ($n(\mathfrak{m}) = \text{hdeg}(A) = 1$).

Recall that the postulation number $\rho_M(I)$ of M with respect to I is the least integer m such that $H_M(n) = P_M(n)$ for $n \geq m$. We denote by $h_{G_I(M)}(n)$ the Hilbert function and by $p_{G_I(M)}(n)$ the Hilbert polynomial of $G_I(M)$. Put $r := \text{reg}(G_I(M))$. By [2, theorem 17.1.6],

$$h_{G_I(M)}(n) - p_{G_I(M)}(n) = \sum_{i=0}^d \ell(H_{G_I(A)_+}^i(G_I(M))).$$

Hence $h_{G_I(M)}(n) = p_{G_I(M)}(n)$ for all $n \geq r + 1$. We have

$$H_M(n) = \ell(M/I^{n+1}M) = \sum_{i=0}^r h_{G_I(M)}(i) + \sum_{i=r+1}^n h_{G_I(M)}(i)$$

for $n \geq r + 1$. From this it follows that $H_M(n) = P_M(n)$ for all $n \geq r$. Thus $\rho_M(I) \leq \text{reg}(G_I(M))$. Therefore, we obtain the following consequence.

COROLLARY 2.5. *Let M be an arbitrary finitely generated A -module with $d = \dim(M) \geq 1$. Then*

- (i) $\rho_M(I) \leq n(I) \text{hdeg}(M) - 1$, if $d = 1$,
- (ii) $\rho_M(I) \leq 2^{(d-1)!} \text{hdeg}(M)^{3(d-1)!-1} n(I)^{3d!-d} - 1$, if $d \geq 2$.

Remark. In [11, corollary 3], Schwartz proved the existence of a bound for the postulation number of A with respect to I in terms of $n(I)$ under the assumption that the characteristic of the residue field is 0. He used the method of Gröbner bases which can not be applied to study the general case. Let $R_I(A)$ be the Rees algebra of A with respect to I . Ooishi [7, lemma 4.8] proved that $\text{reg}(G_I(A)) = \text{reg}(R_I(A))$. Represent $R_I(A) = A[T]/J$, where $A[T]$ is a polynomial ring and J is a homogeneous ideal of $A[T]$. The relation type $\text{retype}(I)$ of I is defined as the largest degree of the minimal generators of J . It is known [15, corollary 1.3 and proposition 4.1] that $\text{retype}(I) \leq \text{reg}(R_I(A)) + 1$. Therefore, we obtain the following bounds for the relation type of I in terms of $n(I)$.

COROLLARY 2.6. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a noetherian local ring with $d = \dim(A) \geq 1$ and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. Then*

- (i) $\text{retype}(I) \leq n(I) \text{hdeg}(A)$, if $d = 1$,
- (ii) $\text{retype}(I) \leq 2^{(d-1)!} \text{hdeg}(A)^{3(d-1)!-1} n(I)^{3d!-d}$, if $d \geq 2$.

Recall that an ideal $J \subseteq I$ is called a reduction of I if $I^{n+1} = JI^n$ for $n \geq 0$. If J is a reduction of I and no other reduction of I is contained in J , then J is said to be a minimal reduction of I . If J is a reduction of I , then the reduction number of I with respect to J , $r_J(I)$, is given by

$$r_J(I) := \min\{n \mid I^{n+1} = JI^n\}.$$

The reduction number of I , denoted $r(I)$, is given by

$$r(I) := \min\{r_J(I) \mid J \text{ is a minimal reduction of } I\}.$$

By [15, proposition 3.2], $r(I) \leq \text{reg}(G_I(A))$. This gives the following consequence.

COROLLARY 2.7. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring with $d = \dim A \geq 1$ and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of A . Then:*

- (i) $r(I) \leq n(I) \text{hdeg}(A) - 1$, if $d = 1$;
- (ii) $r(I) \leq 2^{(d-1)!} \text{hdeg}(A)^{3(d-1)!-1} n(I)^{3d!-d} - 1$, if $d \geq 2$.

Remark. In [11, corollary 4], Schwartz could establish the existence of a bound for the reduction number of I in terms of $n(I)$ only for a Cohen–Macaulay ring A in the characteristic zero case. Vasconcelos [19, theorem 2.45] also gave a bound for the reduction number of I in terms of $e(I, A)$ and $n(I)$ for a Cohen–Macaulay ring A .

3. *Bounds for the Hilbert coefficients*

Throughout this section let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of A . Let M be a finitely generated A -module. Once we have a bound for the postulation number of M with respect to I , we can derive a bound for the Hilbert coefficients of I following a method proposed by Vasconcelos [10] (see [8, 9] for the case $M = A$ and $I = \mathfrak{m}$).

THEOREM 3.1. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of A . Let M be a finite generated A -module with $\dim(M) \geq 1$ and $D(I, M)$ an arbitrary extended degree of M with respect to I . Then:*

- (i) $|e_1(I, M)| \leq D(I, M)[D(I, M) - 1]$;
- (ii) $|e_i(I, M)| \leq (i + 1)2^{i!+2}D(I, M)^{3^{i!}-i+1} - 1$ if $i \geq 2$.

Proof.

If $d = 1$ then $\text{reg}(G_I(M)) \leq D(I, M) - 1$, by Theorem 2.1. This implies

$$\ell(M/I^{r+1}M) = (r + 1)e(I, M) - e_1(I, M),$$

where $r = \text{reg}(G_I(M))$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |e_1(I, M)| &= |(r + 1)e(I, M) - \ell(M/I^{r+1}M)| \\ &\leq |(r + 1)e(I, M) - (r + 1)| \\ &\leq (r + 1)[e(I, M) - 1] \\ &\leq D(I, M)[D(I, M) - 1] \\ &= D(I, M)[D(I, M) - 1]. \end{aligned}$$

If $d \geq 2$, without loss of generality we may further assume that the residue field of A is infinite. Then we may choose $x \in I \setminus \mathfrak{m}I$ such that its initial form x^* is a $G_I(M)$ -filter-regular element. We have $\dim M/xM = d - 1$. By induction we may assume that

- (i') $|e_1(I, M/xM)| \leq D(I, M/xM)[D(I, M/xM) - 1]$,
- (ii') $|e_i(I, M/xM)| \leq i2^{(i-1)!+2}D(I, M/xM)^{3^{(i-1)!}-i+2} - 1$ if $i = 2, \dots, d - 1$.

Since $e_i(I, M) = e_i(I, M/xM)$ for $i = 0, \dots, d - 1$ and $D(I, M/xM) \leq D(I, M)$, this implies

$$\begin{aligned} |e_1(I, M)| &\leq D(I, M)[D(I, M) - 1], \\ |e_i(I, M)| &\leq (i + 1)2^{i!+2}D(I, M)^{3^{i!}-i+1} - 1, \quad i = 2, \dots, d - 1. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove the bound for $e_d(I, M)$. We have

$$(-1)^d e_d(I, M) = P_M(m) - \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} (-1)^i e_i(I, M) \binom{m + d - i}{d - i}$$

for all $m \geq 0$. Put

$$m := 2^{(d-1)!}D(I, M)^{3^{(d-1)!}-1} - 1.$$

Then $m \geq \text{reg } G_I(M)$, by Theorem 2.1. Thus $H_M(m) = P_M(m)$. By [8, theorem 2.1] or [5, theorem 3.6],

$$\begin{aligned} H_M(m) = \ell(M/I^{m+1}M) &\leq D(I, M) \left[\binom{m+d-1}{d} + \binom{m+d-1}{d-1} \right] \\ &= D(I, M) \binom{m+d}{d}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$P_M(m) \leq D(I, M) \binom{m+d}{d}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |e_d(I, M)| &= \left| P_M(m) - \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} (-1)^i e_i(I, M) \binom{m+d-i}{d-i} \right| \\ &\leq D(I, M) \binom{m+d}{d} + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |e_i(I, M)| \binom{m+d-i}{d-i} \\ &= [D(I, M) + e(I, M)] \binom{m+d}{d} + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} |e_i(I, M)| \binom{m+d-i}{d-i} \\ &\leq 2D(I, M) \binom{m+d}{d} + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} |e_i(I, M)| \binom{m+d-i}{d-i}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that

$$\binom{m+d-i}{d-i} \leq (d-i+1)m^{d-i} - 1$$

for $m \geq 1$. Then we obtain

$$|e_d(I, M)| \leq 2(d+1)D(I, M)m^d + d|e_1(I, M)|m^{d-1} + \sum_{i=2}^{d-1} (d-i+1)|e_i(I, M)|m^{d-i}.$$

Since $2 \leq i \leq d-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_1(I, M) &\leq D(I, M)[D(I, M) - 1] \leq m, \\ e_i(I, M) &\leq (i+1)2^{i+2}D(I, M)^{3i-i+1} - 1 \leq 4(i+1)D(I, M)m. \end{aligned}$$

We thus get

$$\begin{aligned} |e_d(I, M)| &\leq 4(d+1)D(I, M)[(m+1)^d - 1] \\ &= 4(d+1)D(I, M)[2^{d^1}D(I, M)^{3d^1-d} - 1] \\ &\leq (d+1)2^{d^1+2}D(I, M)^{3d^1-d+1} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 3.2. *Given two positive integers d and q . There exist only a finite number of Hilbert–Samuel functions for a A -module M with respect to an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal I of the local ring A such that $\dim M = d$ and $D(I, M) \leq q$.*

Proof. We have $P_M(n) = \ell(M/I^{n+1}M)$ for $n > \text{reg}(G_I(M))$. By Theorem 3.1, there are only a finite number of polynomials $P_M(n)$. On the other hand, by Theorem [8, theorem 2.1]

or [5, theorem 3·6], there are only a finite number of possibilities for $\ell(M/I^{n+1}M)$ for a fixed n . Hence finiteness of the number of the possibilities for the function $\ell(M/I^{n+1}M)$ follows from the finiteness of possibilities for $\text{reg}(G_I(M))$ which have been proved in Theorem 2·1.

Now we are able to give a bound for the Hilbert coefficients of M with respect to I in terms of the degree of nilpotency of I .

THEOREM 3·3. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring and I an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of A . Let M be a finitely generated A -module with $\dim(M) \geq 1$. Then:*

- (i) $e(I, M) \leq e(M)n(I)^d$;
- (ii) $|e_1(I, M)| \leq \text{hdeg}(M)n(I)^d[\text{hdeg}(M)n(I)^d - 1]$;
- (iii) $|e_i(I, M)| \leq (i + 1)2^{2i+2} \text{hdeg}(M)^{3i-i+1}n(I)^{3di-di+d} - 1$, if $i \geq 2$.

Proof. We only need to prove (ii) and (iii). Applying Theorem 3·1 we get

$$|e_1(I, M)| \leq \text{hdeg}(I, M)[\text{hdeg}(I, M) - 1],$$

$$|e_i(I, M)| \leq (i + 1)2^{i+2} \text{hdeg}(I, M)^{3i-i+1} - 1 \text{ if } i \geq 2.$$

By Lemma 2·3, this implies

$$|e_1(I, M)| \leq \text{hdeg}(M)n(I)^d[\text{hdeg}(M)n(I)^d - 1],$$

$$|e_i(I, M)| \leq (i + 1)2^{2i+2} \text{hdeg}(M)^{3i-i+1}n(I)^{3di-di+d} - 1 \text{ if } i \geq 2,$$

COROLLARY 3·4. *Let M be a finitely generated A -module with $\dim(M) = d \geq 1$ and r a positive integer. There exist only a finite number of Hilbert–Samuel functions for a module M with respect to an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal I such that $n(I) \leq r$.*

Proof. By definition, the homological degree $\text{hdeg}(M)$ of M is determinate. By an argument analogous to that for the proof of Corollary 3·2 we obtain the conclusion.

Remark. In [11, theorem 1], Schwartz proved that there are only a finite number of Hilbert–Samuel functions $\ell(A/I^n)$ with fixed degree of nilpotency $n(I)$ in the characteristic zero case.

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to Prof. N. V. Trung for his advice and encouragement.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. G. ANDREWS and M. E. MCINTYRE. On wave-action and its relatives. *J. Fluid Mech.* **89** (1978), 647–664.
- [2] M. BRODMANN and R. Y. SHARP. *Local cohomology - An Algebraic Introduction with Geometric Applications* (Cambridge University Press, 1998).
- [3] L. R. DOERING, T. GUNSTON and W. VASCONCELOS. Cohomological degrees and Hilbert functions of graded modules. *Amer. J. Math.* **120** (1998), 493–504.
- [4] S. HUCKABA. A d -dimensional extension of a lemma of Huneke’s and formulas for the Hilbert coefficients. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 1393–1401.
- [5] C. H. LINH. Upper bound for Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity of associated graded modules. *Comm. Algebra* **33**(6) (2005), 1817–1831.
- [6] T. MARLEY. The coefficients of the Hilbert polynomial and the reduction number of an ideal. *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **40** (1989), 1–8.
- [7] A. OOISHI. Genera and arithmetic genera of commutative rings. *Hiroshima Math. J.* **17** (1987), 47–66.
- [8] M. E. ROSSI, N. V. TRUNG and G. VALLA. Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity and extended degree. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **355** (2003), no. 5, 1773–1786.
- [9] M. E. ROSSI, N. V. TRUNG and G. VALLA. Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity and finiteness of Hilbert functions, In: A. Corso et al (eds), *Commutative Algebra: Geometric, Homological, Combinatorial and Computational Aspects. Lecture Notes Pure Appl. Math.* **244**, (CRC Press, 2005).

- [10] E. ROSSI, G. VALLA and W. VASCONCELOS. Maximal Hilbert functions. *Results in Math.* **39** (2001) 99–114.
- [11] N. SCHWARTZ. Bounds for the postulation numbers of Hilbert functions. *J. Algebra* **193** (1997), 581–615.
- [12] V. SRINIVAS and V. TRIVEDI. On the Hilbert functions of a Cohen–Macaulay ring. *J. Algebraic Geom.* **6** (1997), 733–751.
- [13] V. TRIVEDI. Hilbert functions, Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity and uniform Artin-Rees numbers. *Manuscripta Math.* **94** (1997), 543–558.
- [14] V. TRIVEDI. Finiteness of Hilbert functions for generalized Cohen–Macaulay modules. *Comm. Algebra.* **29**(2) (2001), 805–813.
- [15] N. V. TRUNG. Reduction exponent and degree bound for the defining equations of graded rings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **101** (1987), 229–234.
- [16] N. V. TRUNG. The Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity of the Rees algebra and the associated graded ring. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **350** (1998), 2813–2832.
- [17] W. VASCONCELOS. The homological degree of module. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **350** (1998), 1167–1179.
- [18] W. VASCONCELOS. Cohomological degrees of graded modules. Six lectures on commutative algebra (Bellaterra, 1996). *Progr. Math.* **166** (1998) 345–392.
- [19] W. VASCONCELOS. *Integral Closure* (Springer Press, 2005).
- [20] H. J. WANG. Hilbert coefficients and the associated graded rings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (1999), 964–973.