

# On the distance from the origin to an entire graphic $m$ -shrinker

N. T. M. Duyen and N. T. T. Loan

**Abstract.** Let  $\Sigma$  be an entire graphic  $m$ -shrinker in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $X$  be the position vector field of  $\Sigma$ . By using the generalized divergence theorem, we obtain a formula for the weighted volume of  $\Sigma$  that is related to  $X$ , and give a simple proof for it. Thanks to this formula, an upper bound for the distance from the origin to the  $m$ -shrinker is given.

**M.S.C. 2010:** 53C25, 53A10, 49Q05.

**Key words:** Weighted minimal graphs; Gauss space; self-shrinkers; Bernstein's theorem.

## 1 Introduction

A minimal surface is a surface that locally minimizes its area. This is equivalent to that the surface has zero mean curvature. The classical Bernstein's theorem asserts that an entire minimal graph over  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is a plane in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  (see [10]). Many mathematicians tried to generalize the Bernstein's theorem to higher dimensions as well as higher codimensions. In 1965, De Giorgi proved the Bernstein's theorem for entire minimal graphs over  $\mathbb{R}^3$  in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  (see [7]). In 1966, Almgren proved the theorem in  $\mathbb{R}^5$  (see [1]). In 1968, Simons extended the theorem to  $\mathbb{R}^8$ . He proved that an entire minimal graph of dimension  $n$  has to be planar for  $n \leq 7$  (see [11]). In 1969, Bombieri, De Giorgi, and Giusti produced a counterexample in dimension 8 and higher (see [3]). In the theory of minimal hypersurfaces, Bernstein's theorem is one of the most fundamental theorems. Thus, it is natural to ask whether there is a Bernstein type theorem in an ambient space other than  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , such as Riemannian manifolds, Lorentz-Minkowski spaces, warped product spaces, manifolds with density,... In particular, a theme widely approached in recent years is Bernstein type theorem in a manifold with density, a Riemannian manifold with a positive function  $e^{-f}$  used to weight both the volume and the perimeter area. A hypersurface  $\Sigma$  is said to be  $f$ -minimal (or weighted minimal or minimal with density  $e^{-f}$ ) if the  $f$ -mean curvature (or weighted mean curvature) of  $\Sigma$ ,

$$H_f(\Sigma) = H(\Sigma) + \langle \nabla f, N \rangle = 0,$$

where  $H(\Sigma)$  ( $= -\operatorname{div} N$ ) and  $N$  are the classical mean curvature and the unit normal vector field of  $\Sigma$ , respectively. If  $H_f(\Sigma) = \lambda$ , a constant, then  $\Sigma$  is called a  $\lambda$ -hypersurface.

Shrinkers are minimal hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  under the conformally changed metric  $g_{ij} = e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2(n-1)}} \delta_{ij}$  (see [6]). They are special examples of weighted minimal hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with density  $e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}}$ , a modified version of Gauss space  $\mathbb{G}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with density  $e^{-f} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}}$ . To answer the question of whether there is a Bernstein type theorem for shrinkers, Ecker and Huisken (see [8]) showed that a smooth shrinker is a hyperplane if it is an entire graphic shrinker with polynomial area growth. Recently, Lu Wang (see [12]) removed the assumption of polynomial area growth. She proved that smooth shrinkers in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , that are entire graphs are hyperplanes. Cheng and Wei (see [4]) proved that an entire graphic  $\lambda$ -hypersurface in Euclidean space is a hyperplane. In [9], D. T. Hieu established a weighted area estimate for entire graphs with bounded weighted mean curvature in Gauss space. Thanks to this, the Bernstein type theorems for graphic shrinkers as well as for graphic  $\lambda$ -hypersurfaces are immediately obtained as sequences. It should be noted that all mentioned above are for the case of codimension one, i.e., for hypersurfaces.

For  $m$ -surface (i.e., an  $m$ -dimensional surface)  $\Sigma^m$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the  $f$ -mean curvature vector of  $\Sigma^m$  is  $\vec{H}_f(\Sigma^m) = \vec{H}(\Sigma^m) + X^N$ , where  $\vec{H}(\Sigma^m)$  and  $X^N$  are the mean curvature vector and the projection of the position vector field  $X$  into the normal bundle of  $\Sigma^m$ , respectively. An  $m$ -shrinker is an  $m$ -surface satisfies the equation  $\vec{H} + \frac{X^N}{2} = 0$ . Up to now, some Bernstein type theorems for entire graphic shrinkers with higher codimensions have been proved with some additional conditions. H. Zhou (see [14]) proved a Bernstein type theorem for entire graphic shrinkers in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  of a smooth map  $f$  from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with its Jacobian,  $J_f$ , satisfies that  $J_f + 1 > 0$  or  $1 - J_f > 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . After that, he proved such a theorem in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+l}$  for a smooth map  $f$  from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^l$  with its eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^r$ , satisfy that  $|\lambda_i \lambda_j| \leq 1$  for  $i \neq j$ , where  $r$  is the rank of the differential of  $f$ .

It should be noted that the Bernstein type theorem for entire graphic shrinkers of codimension one is a stronger version than the classical one. An entire graphic shrinker is not only a hyperplane but also passing through the origin, i.e., the distance from the origin to the shrinker is zero. It is natural to consider the weaker question whether the entire graphic  $m$ -shrinker (i.e., an  $m$ -dimensional entire graphic shrinker) in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n - m > 1$ ) passes through the origin. In this paper, we prove a partial result for this question: an entire graphic  $m$ -shrinker is not too far from the origin.

## 2 Distance from the origin to an entire graphic $m$ -shrinker

In  $\mathbb{R}$ , by integration by parts, it is not hard to check that

$$2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4}} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4}} x^2 dx.$$

Observe that the left-hand side of this equation represents twice the weighted length of a straight line passing through the origin in  $\overline{\mathbb{G}}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with density  $e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}}$ .

In [2], Claudio Arezzo and Jun Sun considered that  $\Sigma^m$  is an  $m$ -dimensional complete submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  without boundary, with polynomial volume growth, and  $\vec{H} + \frac{X^N}{2} = 0$ . They gave a similar equation for  $\Sigma^m$  without proof (see [2], Lemma 2.4):

$$\int_{\Sigma^m} (|X|^2 - 2m)e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} = 0.$$

Now, we consider that  $\Sigma$  is an entire graph  $m$ -shrinker in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . By using the generalized divergence theorem, a simple proof for the same equation for  $m$ -planes passing through the origin in  $\overline{\mathbb{G}}^n$  as well as entire graphic  $m$ -shrinkers in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  will be given in the next propositions. Thanks to them, the main theorem, Theorem 2.5, is obtained.

We first prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a graphic  $m$ -shrinker in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $X$  be the position vector field of  $\Sigma$ . We have*

$$\operatorname{div}_{\Sigma} X = m;$$

and

$$\operatorname{div}_{\Sigma}(e^{-f}X) = me^{-f} - \frac{1}{2}e^{-f}|X^T|^2,$$

where  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^2}{4} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$  and  $X^T$  is the projection of the position vector field  $X$  into the tangent bundle of  $\Sigma$ .

*Proof.* A direct computation shows that for every  $v \in \operatorname{Tan}_p \Sigma$ ,

$$\nabla_v X = v.$$

Thus, for any orthonormal basis of  $\operatorname{Tan}_p \Sigma$ ,  $\{e_1(p), e_2(p), \dots, e_m(p)\}$ , we have

$$\operatorname{div}_{\Sigma} X = \sum_{i=1}^m e_i \nabla_{e_i} X = \sum_{i=1}^m e_i e_i = m;$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}_{\Sigma}(e^{-f}X) &= \sum_{i=1}^m e_i \nabla_{e_i} (e^{-f}X) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m e_i (e^{-f} \nabla_{e_i} X + e_i (e^{-f}) X) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m e_i (e^{-f} \nabla_{e_i} X - e^{-f} \langle \nabla f, e_i \rangle X) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m e_i \left( e^{-f} \nabla_{e_i} X - \frac{1}{2} e^{-f} \langle X, e_i \rangle X \right) \\ &= e^{-f} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m e_i \nabla_{e_i} X - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \langle X, e_i \rangle \langle X, e_i \rangle \right) \\ &= e^{-f} \left( \operatorname{div}_{\Sigma} X - \frac{1}{2} |X^T|^2 \right) \\ (2.1) \quad &= me^{-f} - \frac{1}{2} e^{-f} |X^T|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $\Sigma_0$  be an  $m$ -plane passing through the origin in  $\mathbb{G}^n$ , and  $X$  be a position vector field of  $\Sigma_0$ . Then we have*

$$2m \operatorname{Vol}_f \Sigma_0 = \int_{\Sigma_0} e^{-f} |X|^2 dV,$$

where  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^2}{4} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\Sigma_0$  is an  $m$ -plane passing through the origin in  $\mathbb{G}^n$  and  $X$  is in  $\Sigma_0$ ,  $|X^T|^2 = |X|^2$  and it follows from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_0} \operatorname{div}_{\Sigma_0}(e^{-f} X) dV &= m \int_{\Sigma_0} e^{-f} dV - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_0} e^{-f} |X^T|^2 dV \\ (2.2) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= m \operatorname{Vol}_f \Sigma_0 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_0} e^{-f} |X|^2 dV. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $B_R$  be an  $n$ -ball with center  $O$  and radius  $R$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\nu(p)$  be the unit vector in the tangent plane to  $B_R \cap \Sigma_0$  at  $p$  that is normal to  $\partial(B_R \cap \Sigma_0)$  and that points away from  $B_R \cap \Sigma_0$ . Since  $X$  and  $\nu$  have the same direction,  $\langle X, \nu \rangle = R$  on  $\partial(B_R \cap \Sigma_0)$ .

By using the generalize divergence theorem (see [13]), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R \cap \Sigma_0} \operatorname{div}_{\Sigma_0}(e^{-f} X) dV &= \int_{\partial(B_R \cap \Sigma_0)} e^{-f} \langle X, \nu \rangle dV - \int_{B_R \cap \Sigma_0} e^{-f} \langle \vec{H}, X \rangle dV \\ (2.3) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= R \cdot e^{-\frac{R^2}{4}} \operatorname{Vol}(\partial(B_R \cap \Sigma_0)), \end{aligned}$$

because  $\vec{H} = 0$  on  $\Sigma_0$ .

Moreover, it is clear that  $\partial(B_R \cap \Sigma_0)$  is an  $(m-1)$ -sphere with center  $O$  and radius  $R$ . Therefore, we have

$$\operatorname{Vol}(\partial(B_R \cap \Sigma_0)) = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{m}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})} R^{m-1},$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the gamma function, which satisfies  $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\pi}$ ,  $\Gamma(1) = 1$ , and  $\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x)$  for any  $x$ .

Thus, taking the limit of both sides of (2.3) as  $R$  tends to infinity, we obtain

$$\int_{\Sigma_0} \operatorname{div}_{\Sigma_0}(e^{-f} X) = 0.$$

Combining with (2.2), we get

$$2m \operatorname{Vol}_f \Sigma_0 = \int_{\Sigma_0} e^{-f} |X|^2 dV$$

as desired.  $\square$

**Remark 2.1.** Since an entire graphic  $m$ -shrinker  $\Sigma$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is proper, it has Euclidean volume growth (see [5]), that is, there exist constants  $C$  so that for all  $R \geq 1$

$$\operatorname{Vol}(B_R \cap \Sigma) \leq CR^m.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be an entire graphic  $m$ -shrinker in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\nu(p)$  be the unit vector in the tangent plane to  $B_R \cap \Sigma$  at  $p$  that is normal to  $\partial(B_R \cap \Sigma)$  and that points away from  $B_R \cap \Sigma$ . We have*

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\frac{R^2}{4}} \int_{\partial(B_R \cap \Sigma)} \langle X, \nu \rangle dV = 0.$$

*Proof.* It is not hard to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\Sigma X &= \vec{H} = -\frac{X^N}{2}; \\ \Delta_\Sigma |X|^2 &= 2\langle X, \Delta_\Sigma X \rangle + 2|\nabla_\Sigma X|^2 = 2\langle X, \vec{H} \rangle + 2m = 2m - |X^N|^2; \\ \nabla_\Sigma |X|^2 &= 2X^T. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\int_{B_R \cap \Sigma} \operatorname{div}_\Sigma(X^T) dV = \int_{B_R \cap \Sigma} \frac{\Delta_\Sigma |X|^2}{2} dV = \int_{B_R \cap \Sigma} (m - \frac{1}{2}|X^N|^2) dV.$$

Moreover, by using the divergence theorem, we have

$$\int_{B_R \cap \Sigma} \operatorname{div}_\Sigma(X^T) dV = \int_{\partial(B_R \cap \Sigma)} \langle X^T, \nu \rangle dV = \int_{\partial(B_R \cap \Sigma)} \langle X, \nu \rangle dV.$$

It follows that

$$0 \leq \int_{\partial(B_R \cap \Sigma)} \langle X, \nu \rangle dV = \int_{B_R \cap \Sigma} (m - \frac{1}{2}|X^N|^2) dV \leq m \int_{B_R \cap \Sigma} dV \leq mCR^m,$$

since  $\Sigma$  has Euclidean volume growth.

Therefore,

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \leq e^{-\frac{R^2}{4}} \int_{\partial(B_R \cap \Sigma)} \langle X, \nu \rangle dV \leq e^{-\frac{R^2}{4}} mCR^m.$$

Taking the limit of both sides of (2.4) as  $R$  tends to infinity, we get

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\frac{R^2}{4}} \int_{\partial(B_R \cap \Sigma)} \langle X, \nu \rangle dV = 0$$

as desired.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *Proposition 2.2 also holds true for  $\Sigma$  being an entire graphic  $m$ -shrinker in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .*

*Proof.* From (2.1),

$$\int_{B_R \cap \Sigma} \operatorname{div}_\Sigma(e^{-f} X) dV = m \int_{B_R \cap \Sigma} e^{-f} dV - \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_R \cap \Sigma} e^{-f} |X^T|^2 dV.$$

By using the generalized divergence theorem, we have

$$\int_{B_R \cap \Sigma} \operatorname{div}_\Sigma(e^{-f} X) dV = \int_{\partial(B_R \cap \Sigma)} e^{-f} \langle X, \nu \rangle dV - \int_{B_R \cap \Sigma} e^{-f} \langle \vec{H}, X \rangle dV.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} m \int_{B_R \cap \Sigma} e^{-f} dV &= \int_{\partial(B_R \cap \Sigma)} e^{-f} \langle X, \nu \rangle dV + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_R \cap \Sigma} e^{-f} |X^T|^2 dV \\ &\quad - \int_{B_R \cap \Sigma} e^{-f} \langle \vec{H}, X \rangle dV. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Sigma$  is an  $m$ -shrinker in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e.,  $\vec{H} + \frac{1}{2}X^N = 0$ ,

$$\langle \vec{H}, X \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \langle X^N, X \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} |X^N|^2.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} m \int_{B_R \cap \Sigma} e^{-f} dV &= \int_{\partial(B_R \cap \Sigma)} e^{-f} \langle X, \nu \rangle dV + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_R \cap \Sigma} e^{-f} |X^T|^2 dV \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_R \cap \Sigma} e^{-f} |X^N|^2 dV \\ (2.5) \quad &= e^{-\frac{R^2}{4}} \int_{\partial(B_R \cap \Sigma)} \langle X, \nu \rangle dV + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_R \cap \Sigma} e^{-f} |X|^2 dV. \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\frac{R^2}{4}} \int_{\partial(B_R \cap \Sigma)} \langle X, \nu \rangle dV = 0.$$

Therefore, taking the limit of both sides of (2.5) as  $R$  tends to infinity, we get

$$2m \text{Vol}_f \Sigma = \int_{\Sigma} e^{-f} |X|^2 dV.$$

Thus, Proposition 2.2 also holds true for  $\Sigma$  being an entire graphic  $m$ -shrinker in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  $\square$

The following theorem gives an upper bound for the distance from  $O$  to an entire graphic  $m$ -shrinker in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . It shows that an entire graphic  $m$ -shrinker in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is not too far from the origin.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be an entire graphic  $m$ -shrinker in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then the distance from the origin to  $\Sigma$ ,  $d(O, \Sigma)$ , satisfies*

$$d(O, \Sigma) < \sqrt{2m}.$$

*Therefore, an entire graphic  $m$ -shrinker in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is not too far from the origin.*

*Proof.* Let  $k = \sqrt{2m}$  and  $B_k$  be the  $n$ -ball with center  $O$  and radius  $k$ . It is clear that

$$(2.6) \quad 2m = k^2 \leq |X|^2, \quad \forall X \in \Sigma - B_k \quad \text{and} \quad \exists X \in \Sigma - B_k : 2m < |X|^2$$

From Proposition 2.4, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{-f} (2m - |X|^2) dV = \int_{\Sigma \cap B_k} e^{-f} (2m - |X|^2) dV + \int_{\Sigma - B_k} e^{-f} (2m - |X|^2) dV = 0.$$

It follows from (2.6) that the last integral is negative, therefore the first one is positive. Hence,  $\Sigma \cap B_k \neq \emptyset$ , and  $d(O, \Sigma) < \sqrt{2m}$ . Thus, an entire graphic  $m$ -shrinker in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is not too far from the origin.  $\square$

## References

- [1] J. Almgren, *Some interior regularity theorems for minimal surfaces and an extension of Bernstein's theorem*, Ann. of Math. 84 (1966), 277-292.
- [2] C. Arezzo and S. Jun, *Self-shrinkers for the mean curvature flow in arbitrary codimension*, Math. Z. 274 (2013), 993-1027.
- [3] E. Bombieri, E. De Giorgi and E. Giusti, *Minimal cones and the Bernstein problem*, Invent. Math. 7 (1969), 243-268.
- [4] M. Cheng and G. Wei, *The Gauss image of  $\lambda$ -hypersurfaces and a Bernstein type problem*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1410.5302 (2014).
- [5] X. Cheng and D. Zhou, *Volume estimate about shrinkers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 141 (2013), 687-696.
- [6] H. Colding and P. Minicozzi, *Generic mean curvature flow I; generic singularities*, Ann. of Math. (2012), 755-833.
- [7] E. De Giorgi, *Una estensione del teorema di Bernstein*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa-Classe di Scienze, 19 (1965), 79-85.
- [8] K. Ecker and G. Huisken, *Mean curvature evolution of entire graphs*, Ann. of Math. 130 (1989), 453-471.
- [9] D. T. Hieu, *A weighted volume estimate and its application to Bernstein type theorems in Gauss space*, Colloq. Math. 159 (2020), 25-28.
- [10] R. Osserman, *A survey of minimal surfaces*, Courier Corporation, 2013.
- [11] J. Simons, *Minimal varieties in Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. of Math. 88 (1968), 62-105.
- [12] L. Wang, *A Bernstein type theorem for self-similar shrinkers*, Geom. Dedicata, 151 (2011), 297-303.
- [13] B. White, *Lectures on minimal surface theory*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1308.3325 (2013).
- [14] H. Zhou, *Some Bernstein Type Results of Graphical Self-Shrinkers with High Codimension in Euclidean Space*, Thesis (Ph.D.)-City University of New York, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI (2015), 74 pp.

*Authors' address:*

Nguyen Thi My Duyen, Nguyen Thi Thanh Loan  
 Department of Mathematics, University of Education,  
 Hue University, 32 Le Loi, Hue, Vietnam.  
 E-mail addresses: ntmduyen@hueuni.edu.vn  
 nttloan.dhsp@hueuni.edu.vn