ISSN 0030-1566

# MATHEMATICAL JOURNAL of Okayama University

VOL. 52 2010

PUBLISHED BY

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS FACULTY OF SCIENCE OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY OKAYAMA, JAPAN

# MATHEMATICAL JOURNAL OF OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY

FOUNDED BY M. MORIYA, T. INAGAKI, M. OSIMA, T. OTSUKI

EDITED BY Masao HIROKAWA Katsuhiko MATSUZAKI Kazuhisa SHIMAKAWA Hiro-Fumi YAMADA

Kazuyoshi KIYOHARA Hiroaki NAKAMURA\* Hideo TAMURA Yuji YOSHINO

(\* : Managing Editor)

Each volume consists of two numbers, and each number which contains about 100 pages will appear semi-annually.

According to circumstances, there are some cases where one volume containing about 200 pages appears annually.

All communications relating to this publication should be addressed to

Mathematical Journal of Okayama University Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Okayama University Okayama 700-8530, Japan

E-mail: journal@math.okayama-u.ac.jp Information for authors is to be found on the inside back cover. Visit our web site http://www.math.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou/

Copyright©2010 by the Editorial Board of Mathematical Journal of Okayama University

# JANUARY 2010 Vol. 52

# CONTENTS

	Page
MOCHIZUKI, S. Arithmetic elliptic curves in general position	1
STIX, J. Trading degree for dimension in the section conjecture: The non-abelian Shapiro lemma	29
HOSHINO, K. The Belyi functions and dessin d'enfants corresponding to the non-normal inclusions of triangle groups	45
HOSHINO, K. AND NAKAMURA, H. Belyi function on $X_0(49)$ of degree 7	61
MAEDA, T. On Fuchsian groups with the same set of fixed points of parabolic elements	65
HONDA, M. AND SAKAMOTO, T. Serially coalescent classes of Lie algebras	77
ZHONGKUI, L. AND WENHUI, Z. A note on quasi-Armendariz rings	89
ZHONGKUI, L. AND XIAOYAN, Y. Triangular matrix representations of skew monoid rings	97
KESKIN TÜTÜNCÜ, D. AND KURATOMI, Y. On generalized epi-projective modules	111
QUYNH, T. C. AND THUYET, L. V. Some properties of ef-extending rings	123
OLTEANU, A. A general inequality for doubly warped product submanifolds	133
KATŌGI, K. On self maps of $\mathbb{HP}^n$ for $n = 4$ and 5	143
PRAJAPAT, J. K. AND RAINA, R. K. Some applications of differential subordination for a general class of multivalently analytic functions involving a convolution structure	147
GORDON M AND LOURA L. Exponential generalized distributions	159
DE MALAFOSSE, B. AND YASSINE, A. Infinite matrices associated with power series and application to optimization and matrix transformations	179
GÖLBAŞI, Ö. AND AYDIN, N. Correction: Results on prime near-rings with $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation	199

#### SOME PROPERTIES OF EF-EXTENDING RINGS

TRUONG CONG QUYNH AND LE VAN THUYET

ABSTRACT. In [16], Thuyet and Wisbauer considered the extending property for the class of (essentially) finitely generated submodules. A module M is called ef-extending if every closed submodule which contains essentially a finitely generated submodule is a direct summand of M. A ring R is called right ef-extending if  $R_R$  is an ef-extending module. We show that a ring R is right ef-extending and the R-dual of every simple left R-module is simple if and only if R is semiperfect right continuous with  $S_l = S_l \leq^e R_R$ . We also prove that a ring R is a QF-ring if and only if R is left Kasch and  $R_R^{(\omega)}$  is ef-extending if and only if R is right AGP-injective satisfying DCC on right (or left) annihilators and  $(R \oplus R)_R$  is ef-extending.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

Throughout the paper, R represents an associative ring with identity  $1 \neq 0$  and all modules are unitary *R*-modules. We write  $M_R$  (resp.,  $_RM$ ) to indicate that M is a right (resp., left) R-module. We also write J (resp.,  $Z_r$ ,  $S_r$ ) for the Jacobson radical (resp., the right singular ideal, the right socle of R) and  $E(M_R)$  for the injective hull of  $M_R$ . If X is a subset of R, the right (resp., left) annihilator of X in R is denoted by  $r_R(X)$  (resp.,  $l_R(X)$ ) or simply r(X) (resp., l(X)) if no confusion appears. If N is a submodule of M (resp., proper submodule) we denote by  $N \leq M$  (resp., N < M). Moreover, we write  $N \leq^{e} M$ ,  $N \leq^{\oplus} M$  and  $N \leq^{max} M$  to indicate that N is an essential submodule, a direct summand and a maximal submodule of M, respectively. A module M is called uniform if  $M \neq 0$  and every non-zero submodule of M is essential in M. It is called that a module M has finite uniform dimension if M does not contain an infinite direct sum of non-zero submodules. Let M, N be R-modules. M is said to be N-injective if, for any submodule H of N, every R-homomorphism  $f: H \longrightarrow M$  can be extended to an R-homomorphism  $f: N \longrightarrow M$ . Let M be any module. A submodule K of M is closed (in M), if  $K \leq^e L \leq M$ , then K = L. A ring R is called right AGP-injective if for each  $0 \neq a \in R$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a^n \neq 0$  and  $lr(a^n) = Ra^n \oplus X_a$  with  $X_a \leq RR$  (see [17]). A ring R is called QF if it is right (or left) artinian and right (or left) self-injective. A ring R is said to be right PF if  $R_R$  is an injective cogenerator in the category

Mathematics Subject Classification. 16D50, 16D70, 16D80.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$  ef-extending rings, extending (or CS) rings, PF rings, QF rings.

of right *R*-modules. A ring *R* is called *semiregular* if R/J is von Neumann regular and idempotents lift modulo *J*. A ring *R* is called right *Kasch* if every simple right *R*-module is embedded in *R*.

We consider the following conditions on a module  $M_R$ :

- C1: Every submodule of M is essential in a direct summand of M.
- C2: Every submodule of M that is isomorphic to a direct summand of M is itself a direct summand of M.
- C3:  $M_1 \oplus M_2$  is a direct summand of M for any two direct summand  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  of M with  $M_1 \cap M_2 = 0$ .

Module  $M_R$  is called extending (or CS) (resp., continuous) if it satisfies C1 (resp., both C1 and C2). R is called right extending (resp., continuous) if  $R_R$  is an extending module (resp., continuous). Module  $M_R$  is called quasi-continuous if it satisfies C1 and C3. A module M is called *uniform-extending* if every uniform submodule is essential in a direct summand of M.

Recently, the theory of extending modules has been developed. Some results for extending modules contribute to plentiful theory of ring and module, particularly decomposition into direct sum of indecomposable (or uniform) modules and application to theory of QF-rings. In [11], Oshiro considered a ring R such that every projective R-module is an extending module (i.e., R is co-H) and in [12] he proved that rings with this property are (left) Artinian. Also in [3, 7] it is proved that  $R^{(I)}$  is an extending module for any index set I if and only if every projective R-module is an extending module.

In [3], Dung, Huynh, Smith and Wisbauer studied QF-rings via extending modules. In [6], Pardo and Asensio proved that R is right PF if and only if R is right cogenerator right extending. This result generalizes Osofsky's result in [13]. In [18], Yousif proved that R is right PF if and only if  $R \oplus R$  is extending as a right R-module and the R-dual of every simple left R-module is simple.

There are some interesting generalization of extending modules. For example, in [15] Smith and Tercan studied weak extending module and  $C_{11}$  module and in [16], Thuyet and Wisbauer considered the extending property for the class of (essentially) finitely generated submodules and defined ef-extending module. A module M is called *ef-extending* if every closed submodule which contains essentially a finitely generated submodule is a direct summand of M. A ring R is called right ef-extending if  $R_R$  is an ef-extending module.

In this paper, we prove that R is QF if and only if R is left Kasch and  $R_R^{(\omega)}$  is effected ing. Moreover, we prove that R is QF if and only if R is right

AGP-injective satisfying DCC on right (or left) annihilators and  $(R \oplus R)_R$  is effected ing.

#### 2. Main Results.

From the definition of ef-extending module and ring, we have:

i) A right extending ring is right ef-extending. But the converse is not true in general.

*Example.* Let K be a division ring and  $_{K}V$  a left K-vector space with infinite dimension. Take  $S = \text{End}(_{K}V)$ , then it right effected but not right extending.

ii) Every finitely generated submodule of an ef-extending module M is essential in a direct summand of M.

**Lemma 2.1** ([16]). Every direct summand of an ef-extending module is ef-extending.

It is well-known M is an extending module if and only if every closed submodule of M is a direct summand (see [3]).

**Lemma 2.2.** Let M be a module such that Soc(M) is finitely generated and essential in M. Then M is an extending module if and only if M is an effectending module.

*Proof.* Assume that M is effected ing. Let N be a closed submodule of M. We have  $Soc(N) \leq Soc(M)$ , and so Soc(N) is finitely generated by hypothesis.

On the other hand,  $Soc(N) = N \cap Soc(M)$ . For every  $x \in N$  such that  $xR \cap Soc(N) = 0$ ,  $xR \cap (N \cap Soc(M)) = 0$  or  $xR \cap Soc(M) = 0$ . Since  $Soc(M) \leq^{e} M$ , xR = 0. It follows that x = 0. So  $Soc(N) \leq^{e} N$ . Hence N is a closed submodule which contains essentially a finitely generated submodule. Thus N is a direct summand of M.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let R be a left perfect ring. Then R is right extending if and only if R is right ef-extending.

If  $_RM$  is a left R-module, recall that the R-dual  $M^* = \operatorname{Hom}_R(_RM, R)$  of M is a right R-module via (fr)(m) = f(rm) for all  $r \in R, f \in M^*$ , and  $m \in M$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** The following statements are equivalent for a ring R.

- (1) R is right ef-extending and the R-dual of every simple left R-module is simple.
- (2) R is semiperfect right continuous ring with  $S_r = S_l \leq^e R_R$ .

Proof. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). By [10, Theorem 4.8], we have R is semiperfect, left Kasch with  $S_r = S_l \leq^e R_R$ . Since R is right ef-extending,  $e_i R$  is ef-extending for all i = 1, 2, ..., n. It follows that  $e_i R$  is uniform (because  $e_i R$  is indecomposable) and so  $Soc(e_i R)$  is simple and essential in  $e_i R$  for all i = 1, 2, ..., n. Therefore  $S_r$  is finitely generated and hence R is right extending by Lemma 2.2. Thus R is right continuous by [10, Theorem 4.10]. (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is clear.

**Theorem 2.5.** The following statements are equivalent for a ring R.

- (1) R is right PF.
- (2)  $R \oplus R$  is effected as a right R-module and the R-dual of every simple left R-module is simple.
- *Proof.*  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  is clear.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Assume that  $R \oplus R$  is effected ing as a right *R*-module and the *R*-dual of every simple left *R*-module is simple. Then *R* is semiperfect right continuous ring with  $S_r = S_l \leq^e R_R$  by Proposition 2.4. Since *R* is semiperfect, right effected and  $S_r \leq^e R_R$ , then  $S_r$  is finitely generated. Hence  $Soc(R \oplus R)_R$  is finitely generated and essential in  $(R \oplus R)_R$ . Therefore  $(R \oplus R)_R$  is extending by Lemma 2.2. We have  $J = Z_r$  (since *R* is right continuous) and *R* is semiregular (since *R* is semiperfect), then  $(R \oplus R)_R$ satisfies the C2 by [10, Example 7.18], and so  $(R \oplus R)_R$  is continuous. Thus *R* is right self-injective by [10, Theorem 1.35].  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.6** ([18], Theorem 2). The following statements are equivalent for a ring R.

- (1) R is right PF.
- (2)  $R \oplus R$  is extending as a right R-module and the R-dual of every simple left R-module is simple.

We write  $R_R^{(\omega)}$  to indicate a countable direct sum of copies of the right *R*-module  $R_R$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** The following statements are equivalent for a ring R.

- (1) R is QF.
- (2) R is left Kasch and  $R_R^{(\omega)}$  is ef-extending.

*Proof.* We prove  $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Let T be a maximal left ideal of R. Since R is left Kasch,  $r(T) \neq 0$ . There exists  $0 \neq a \in r(T)$  or  $T \leq l(a)$  which yields T = l(a) by maximality of T and so r(T) = rl(a). Since R is right ef-extending,  $aR \leq e R$  for some  $e^2 = e \in R$ . On the other hand,  $aR \leq rl(a) \leq eR$  and then  $rl(a) \leq e R$ . Hence  $r(T) \leq e R$ . It implies that R is semiperfect by [10, Lemma 4.1]. Thus  $R = e_1R \oplus \cdots \oplus e_nR$ , where  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is the complete set of orthogonal local idempotents. For every  $i \neq j$ 

 $(i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\})$ , let  $f : e_i R \longrightarrow e_j R$  be a monomorphism. We have  $e_i R \cong f(e_i R) \leq e_j R$ . Since R satisfies the right C2 (because R is left Kasch), then  $f(e_i R)$  is a direct summand of  $e_j R$  or  $f(e_i R) = e_j R$  (because  $e_j R$  is indecomposable). Hence f is an isomorphism. Since R is right efected and  $e_i R$  or  $f(e_i R) = e_j R$  (because  $e_j R$  is indecomposable). Hence f is an isomorphism. Since R is right effected and  $e_i R$ . Therefore for every  $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\bigoplus_{\{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i_0\}} e_i R$  is  $e_{i_0} R$ -injective

by [3, Corollary 8.9]. Since  $e_i R$  is also effected extending, indecomposable and so  $e_i R$  is quasi-continuous. By [9, Theorem 2.13], R is right quasi-continuous. Thus R is right continuous.

By Utumi's Theorem (see [10, Theorem 1.26]),  $J = Z_r$ . By [10, Example 7.18],  $(R \oplus R)_R$  satisfies the C2 and so  $(R \oplus R)_R$  is continuous. Thus R is right self-injective by [10, Theorem 1.35]. It implies that  $e_i R$  is injective for every i = 1, 2, ..., n. On the other hand,  $R_R^{(\omega)} = (e_1 R \oplus \cdots \oplus e_n R)^{(\omega)} = \bigoplus_{\omega'} \varepsilon_i R$  is uniform-extending, for some countable set  $\omega'$  and  $\varepsilon_i \in \{e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n\}$  for each  $i \in \omega'$ . By [3, Corollary 8.10],  $R_R^{(\omega)}$  is injective. By a well-known result of Faith ([4]), R has ACC on right annihilators and hence R is QF.

**Corollary 2.8** ([18], Theorem 3). The following statements are equivalent for a ring R.

- (1) R is QF.
- (2) R is left Kasch and  $R_{R}^{(\omega)}$  is extending.

**Corollary 2.9.** The following statements are equivalent for a ring R.

- (1) R is right PF.
- (2) R is left, right Kasch and  $(R \oplus R)_R$  is ef-extending.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Assume that R is right PF. Then R is left, right Kasch and R is right self-injective by [5, Theorem 2.8]. Hence  $(R \oplus R)_R$  is extending module [10, Theorem 1.35].

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ . In the proof of Theorem 2.7, we showed that R is right PF.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.10.** Assume that  $R_R = e_1 R \oplus e_2 R \oplus \cdots \oplus e_n R$ , where each  $e_i R$  is uniform for all i = 1, 2, ..., n. If every monomorphism  $R_R \longrightarrow R_R$  is an epimorphism, then R is semiperfect.

*Proof.* By [10, Lemma 4.26].

A ring R is called *I-finite* if R contains no infinite sets of orthogonal idempotents (see [10]).

#### **Proposition 2.11.** The following statements are equivalent for a ring R.

(i) R is a semiperfect, right continuous ring.

- (ii) R is a right effectending ring,  $Z_r = J$ , and R has DCC on principal projective right ideals.
- (iii) R is a right ef-extending, right C2 ring, and R has DCC on principal projective right ideals.
- (iv) R is a right ef-extending, right C2 and I-finite ring.

*Proof.*  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv)$  are clear.

 $(iv) \Rightarrow (i)$ . Since R is I-finite, write  $1 = u_1 + \cdots + u_n$ , where the  $u_i$  are orthogonal primitive idempotents. Hence  $R = u_1 R \oplus \cdots \oplus u_n R$ , where each  $u_k R$  is uniform because it is an ef-extending module. On the other hand, R satisfies C2, every monomorphism  $R_R \longrightarrow R_R$  is epimorphism. Thus R is semiperfect by Lemma 2.10. By a similar proof of Theorem 2.7, R is right continuous.

**Corollary 2.12.** The following statements on a ring R are equivalent:

- (1) R is a semiperfect right self-injective ring.
- (2)  $(R \oplus R)_R$  is effected ing,  $Z_r = J$  and R has DCC on principal projective right ideals.

#### *Proof.* $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ is clear.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ . By Proposition 2.11, R is semiperfect. Thus by a similar proof of Theorem 2.7, R is right self-injective.

Next, we consider properties of a ring which has DCC on right (left) annihilators such that  $(R \oplus R)_R$  is effected and the effected of the effect of the effected of the eff

**Theorem 2.13.** The following statements are equivalent for a ring R;

- (1) R is QF.
- (2) R is right AGP-injective satisfying DCC on right annihilators and  $(R \oplus R)_R$  is ef-extending.
- (3) R is right AGP-injective satisfying DCC on left annihilators and  $(R \oplus R)_R$  is ef-extending.

Proof. (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Assume that there is monomorphism  $f: R \longrightarrow R$  which not epimorphism. Let a = f(1). Then  $r(a^n) = 0, \forall n \ge 1$ . Assume that  $aR \ne R$ . Since R is right AGP-injective, there exist a positive integer  $m \ge 1$ and  $X_1 \le RR$  such that  $a^m \ne 0$  and  $lr(a^m) = Ra^m \oplus X_1$ . It implies that  $R = Ra^m \oplus X_1$  (since  $r(a^m) = 0$ ) and so  $Ra^m = Re$  for some  $e^2 = e \in R$ . Then

$$0 = r(a^m) = r(Ra^m) = r(Re) = r(e) = (1 - e)R,$$

and hence e = 1 or  $Ra^m = R$ . It implies that R = Ra, i.e., ba = 1 for some  $b \in R$ . If  $ab \neq 1$ , then by [8, Example 21.26], there are some  $e_{ij} = a^i b^j - a^{i+1} b^{j+1} \in R$ ,  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $e_{ij}e_{kl} = \delta_{jk}e_{il}$  for all  $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

where  $\delta_{jk}$  are the Kronecker deltas. Notice  $e_{ij} \neq 0$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ , by construction. Set  $e_i = e_{ii}$ . Then  $e_i e_j = \delta_{ij} e_i$ ,  $\forall i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore we have

$$r(e_1) > r(\{e_1, e_2\}) > \cdots,$$

this is a contradiction because R has DCC on right annihilators. Hence ab = 1 and so aR = R. This is a contradiction to our assumption. Thus f is an epimorphism.

On the other hand, R is I-finite, there exists an orthogonal set of primitive idempotents  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$  such that  $R_R = e_1 R \oplus e_2 R \oplus ... \oplus e_n R$ . Since R is right efextending,  $e_i R$  is effected and so  $e_i R$  is uniform for every i = 1, 2, ..., n. Thus R is semiperfect by Lemma 2.10. But R is right AGP-injective,  $J = Z_r$ . Therefore R is right C2 by [10, Example 7.18]. Then R is QF by the later part of the proof in Theorem 2.7.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Assume that there is monomorphism  $f : R \longrightarrow R$  which not epimorphism. The same argument of  $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ , there exists a set of orthogonal idempotents  $\{e_i \in R | e_i \neq 0, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Therefore we have

$$l(e_1) > l(\{e_1, e_2\}) > \cdots,$$

this is a contradiction because R has DCC on left annihilators. Thus R is QF by the later part of the proof in  $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ .

**Theorem 2.14.** The following statements are equivalent.

- (1) R is QF.
- (2)  $(R \oplus R)_R$  is effected and effective and set of the set of t
- (3)  $(R \oplus R)_R$  is ef-extending, R is right C2 and satisfies ACC on right annihilators.

*Proof.*  $(1) \Rightarrow (2), (3)$  is clear.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Assume that there is monomorphism  $f: R \longrightarrow R$  which not epimorphism. Let a = f(1). If  $aR \neq R$ , then  $a^n R > a^{n+1}R$  for all  $n \ge 1$ (because r(a) = 0). Moreover,  $a^n R \cong aR \cong R$  for all  $n \ge 1$ . Then for every  $n \ge 1$ , there exists  $0 \neq e_n^2 = e_n \in R$  such that  $a^n R = e_n R$  because R is right C2. Hence we have a strict ascending chain

$$l(e_1) < l(e_2) < \cdots,$$

this is a contradiction. Thus aR = R or f is epimorphism. It implies that R is semiperfect by Lemma 2.10. Then R is QF by the later part of the proof in Theorem 2.7.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ . By  $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ , for every monomorphism  $f : R \longrightarrow R$  which is not epimorphism and for every  $n \ge 1$ , there exists  $0 \ne e_n^2 = e_n \in R$  such that  $a^n R = e_n R$  with a = f(1). Hence we have

 $e_1 R > e_2 R > \cdots,$ 

this is a contradiction by [10, Lemma B.6]. Thus R is QF by the same argument of  $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ .

From this theorem, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.15.** The following statements are equivalent for a ring R.

- (1) R is QF.
- (2)  $(R \oplus R)_R$  is ef-extending, R satisfies ACC on right annihilators and  $S_l \leq^e R_R$ .

*Proof.* We prove  $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ . By [14, Theorem 2.9], R is semiprimary. Therefore R is left Kasch by [10, Lemma 4.2]. Thus R is QF.

In [1], the authors proved that R is QF if and only if R is right Artinian,  $(R \oplus R)_R$  or  $R(R \oplus R)$  is extending and  $S_r \leq S_l$ . In this paper, we extend this result in case  $(R \oplus R)_R$  or  $R(R \oplus R)$  is effected in the effective of  $R \oplus R$  of  $R \oplus R$  and  $R \oplus R$  is effective of  $R \oplus R$ .

**Theorem 2.16.** Assume that R has ACC on right annihilators with  $S_r \leq^e R_R$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) R is QF.
- (2) (a)  $(R \oplus R)_R$  or  $_R(R \oplus R)$  is effected ing. (b)  $S_r \leq S_l$ .

*Proof.*  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  is clear.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Since R has ACC on right annihilators with  $S_l \leq^e R_R$ , R is semiprimary by [14, Theorem 2.9]. Hence R is left Kasch by [10, Lemma 4.2]. If  $(R \oplus R)_R$  is effected ing, then R is QF by Proposition 2.15.

If  $_R(R \oplus R)$  is effected ing, then R is left effected ing. Therefore Soc(Re) is simple for every local idempotent  $e \in R$ . It implies that  $S_r e$  is simple or zero. Moreover,  $S_r$  is essential in  $R_R$  and so  $Soc(eR) \neq 0$ . It implies that R is right Kasch by [10, Theorem 3.12]. Therefore R is left C2. Thus R is QF by Theorem 2.14.

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her many valuable suggestions and comments.

#### References

- P. Dan and L. V. Thuyet, Some results on QF-n rings (n=2,3), Acta Math. Vietnam 16 (1991) 69-76.
- [2] P. Dan, Right perfect rings with the extending peroperty on finitely generated free module, Osaka J. Math. 26 (1989) 265-273.
- [3] N.V. Dung, D.V. Huynh, P.F. Smith and R. Wisbauer, *Extending Modules*, Pitman, 1996.

- [4] C. Faith, Rings with ascending condition on annihilators. Nagoya Math. J. 27 (1966) 179-191.
- [5] C. Faith and D. V. Huynh, When self-injective rings are QF: A report on problem, J. of Alge. and its Appl. 1 (2002) 75-105.
- [6] J. L. Gómez Pardo and P. A. Guil Asensio, Rings with finite essential socle, Proc. American Math. Soc. 125 (1997) 971-977.
- [7] D.V. Huynh and P. Dan, Some characterizations of right co-H-rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 34 (1992) 165-174.
- [8] T. Y. Lam, A First Course in Noncommutative Rings, Springer Graduate Text, 1991.
- [9] S.H. Mohammed and B.J. Müller, *Continous and Discrete Modules*, London Math. Soc. LN 147: Cambridge Univ.Press., 1990.
- [10] W.K. Nicholson and M.F. Yousif, Quasi-Frobenius Rings, Cambridge Univ. Press., 2003.
- [11] K. Oshiro, Lifting modules, extending modules and their applications to QF-rings, *Hokkaido Math. J.* 13 (1984) 310-338.
- [12] K. Oshiro, On Harada rings. I, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 31 (1989) 161-178.
- [13] B. L. Osofsky, A generalization of quasi-Frobenius rings, J. Algebra 4 (1966) 373 -387.
- [14] T. C. Quynh and L. V. Thuyet, On rings with ACC on annihilators and having essential socles, Proc. of Int. of Math. and Appl. (ICMA, Bangkok 2005), Contribution in Math. and Appl. (2006) 227-234.
- [15] P.F. Smith and A. Tercan, Generalizations of CS-modules, Comm. in Algebra, 21(1993) 1809-1847.
- [16] L. V. Thuyet and R. Wisbauer, Extending property for finitely generated submodules, Vietnam J. Math. 25 (1997) 65 - 73.
- [17] Y. Zhou, Rings in which certain right ideals are direct summands of annihilators, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 73 (2002) 335 - 346.
- [18] M.F. Yousif, CS rings and Nakayama permutations, Comm. Algebra 25 (1997) 3787-3795.

TRUONG CONG QUYNH DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS DANANG UNIVERSITY VIETNAM *e-mail address*: tcquynh@dce.udn.vn

LE VAN THUYET DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS HUE UNIVERSITY VIETNAM *e-mail address*: lvthuyethue@gmail.com

> (*Received May 18, 2008*) (*Revised August 13, 2008*)

# Information for authors

# Submission of Manuscripts

- (1) Articles on pure and applied mathematics intended for publication in *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* should be written in English.
- (2) Only original papers not yet published and not simultaneously submitted for publication elsewhere will be accepted.
- (3) Electronically prepared manuscripts in printable files (dvi or pdf) can be sent via e-mail to:

# journal@math.okayama-u.ac.jp

- (4) Electronic submission in LaTeX style is preferred. If you are unable to submit your manuscript electronically, you should send two hard copies to the Editorial Office of Mathematical Journal of Okayama University, Department of Mathematics, Okayama University, Okayama 700-8530, Japan.
- (5) After acceptance for publication, authors will be requested to send a LaTeX file coded with the style file "jokayama.cls" which (together with all necessary additional information on how to use the style sheet) is available at our homepage:

# http://www.math.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou/.

# Proofs

Authors will receive page proofs, preferably by e-mail in PDF format. Corrections should be confined to typographical errors. Authors will be charged for excessive corrections. Please correct your galley proofs and return them within 14 days together with the signed copyright agreement.

# Reprints

The corresponding author will receive 50 hardcopy reprints free of charge, this number to be shared between joint authors.

Edit and Publishing: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Okayama University Okayama, JAPAN

# **Design and Printing Office:**

 $\dots$  Co. Ltd.